

ASYMPTOTIC PROPERTIES OF RANDOM MATRICES OF LONG-RANGE PERCOLATION MODEL

S.Ayadi*

Abstract : We study the spectral properties of matrices of long-range percolation model. These are $N \times N$ random real symmetric matrices $H = \{H(i, j)\}_{i,j}$ whose elements are independent random variables taking zero value with probability $1 - \psi((i - j)/b)$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^+$, where ψ is an even positive function with $\psi(t) \leq 1$ and vanishing at infinity. We study the resolvent $G(z) = (H - z)^{-1}$, $\text{Im}z \neq 0$ in the limit $N, b \rightarrow \infty$, $b = O(N^\alpha)$, $1/3 < \alpha < 1$ and obtain the explicit expression $T(z_1, z_2)$ for the leading term of the correlation function of the normalized trace of resolvent $g_{N,b}(z) = N^{-1} \text{Tr} G(z)$. We show that in the scaling limit of local correlations, this term leads to the expression $(Nb)^{-1} T(\lambda + r_1/N + i0, \lambda + r_2/N - i0) = b^{-1} \sqrt{N} |r_1 - r_2|^{-3/2} (1 + o(1))$ found earlier by other authors for band random matrix ensembles. This shows that the ratio b^2/N is the correct scale for the eigenvalue density correlation function and that the ensemble we study and that of band random matrices belong to the same class of spectral universality.

AMS Subject Classifications : 15A52, 45B85, 60F99.

Key Words : *random matrices, asymptotic properties, percolation model.*

running title : *Asymptotic properties for percolation model.*

1 Introduction

Random matrices play an important role in various fields of mathematics and physics. The eigenvalue distribution of large matrices was initially considered by E.Wigner to model the statistical properties of the energy spectrum

*LMV - Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Versailles, Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, 78035 Versailles (FRANCE). E-mail: ayadi@math.uvsq.fr

of heavy nuclei (see e.g. the collection of early papers [27]). Further investigations have led to numerous applications of random matrices of infinite dimensions in such branches of theoretical physics as statistical mechanics of disordered spin systems, solid state physics, quantum chaos theory, quantum field theory and others (see monographs and reviews [3, 9, 12, 14]). In mathematics, the spectral theory of random matrices has revealed deep links with the orthogonal polynomials theory, integrable systems, representation theory, combinatorics, free probability theory, and others [4, 11, 28, 30].

The first result of the spectral theory of large random matrices concerns the eigenvalue distribution of the Wigner ensemble A_N of $N \times N$ real symmetric matrices of the form

$$A_N(i, j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} a(i, j), \quad |i|, |j| \leq n, \quad (1.1)$$

where $N = 2n + 1$ and $\{a(i, j); -n \leq i \leq j \leq n\}$ are independent and identically distributed random variables defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbf{P})$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}\{a(i, j)\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{a(i, j)^2\} = v^2(1 + \delta_{ij}), \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

is the Kronecker symbol and $\mathbf{E}\{\cdot\}$ is the mathematical expectation with respect to $\mathbf{P}$.

Denoting by $\lambda_{-n}^{(n)} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n^{(n)}$ the eigenvalues of A_N , the normalized eigenvalue counting function is defined by

$$\sigma_n(\lambda, A_N) = N^{-1} \#\{\lambda_j^{(n)} \leq \lambda\}. \quad (1.3)$$

E. Wigner [31] proved that if $a(i, j)$ has all order finite moments, the eigenvalue counting measure $d\sigma_n(\lambda, A_N)$ converges weakly in average as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to a distribution $d\sigma_{sc}(\lambda)$, where the nondecreasing function $\sigma_{sc}(\lambda)$ is differentiable and its derivative ρ_{sc} is given by

$$\rho_{sc}(\lambda) = \sigma'_{sc}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi v^2} \begin{cases} \sqrt{4v^2 - \lambda^2} & \text{if } |\lambda| \leq 2\sqrt{v^2}, \\ 0 & \text{if } |\lambda| > 2v. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

This limiting distribution (1.4) is known as the Wigner distribution, or the semicircle law. A proof of the Wigner's result based on the resolvent technique is given in [26, 22, 23].

Important generalizations of the Wigner's ensemble are given by the band and dilute random matrix ensembles [20]. In the band random matrices model, the matrix elements take zero value outside the band of width b_n along the principal diagonal, for some positive sequence $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of real numbers. This ensemble can be obtained from A_N (1.1) by multiplying each $a(i, j)$ by $I_{(-1/2, 1/2)}((i - j)/b_n)$, where

$$I_B(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in B, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus B \end{cases}$$

is the indicator function of the interval B . The ensemble of dilute random matrices can be obtained from A_N (1.1) by multiplying $a(i, j)$ by independent Bernoulli random variables of parameter p_n/N . Assuming that $b(n) = o(n)$ for large n , the semicircle law is observed for both ensembles, in the limit $b_n \rightarrow \infty$ (see [25]) and $p_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see [20]).

The crucial observation made numerically [7] and then supported in the theoretical physics (see [13, 29]) is that the ratio b^2/n is the critical one for the corresponding transition in spectral properties of band random matrices. In [16], it was proved that the ratio $\tilde{\alpha} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b^2/n$ naturally arises when one considers the leading term of this correlation function on the local scale. This can be regarded as the support of the conjecture that the local properties of spectra of band random matrices depend on $\tilde{\alpha}$.

Let us describe our results in more details. We are interested in a generalization of the both ensembles mentioned above. Roughly speaking, we consider the band random matrices with a random width. To proceed, we consider the ensemble $\{H_{n,b}\}$ of random $N \times N$ matrices, $N = 2n + 1$ whose entries $H_{n,b}$ is obtained as follows : we multiply each matrix element $a(i, j)$ by some Bernoulli random variable $d_b(i, j)$ with parameter $\psi((i - j)/b)$.

The family $\{d_b(i, j); |i|, |j| \leq n\}$ can be regarded as the adjacency matrix of the family of random graphs $\{\Gamma_n\}$ with $N = 2n + 1$ vertices (i, j) such that the average number of edges attached to one vertex is b_n . Hence, each edge $e(i, j)$ of the graph is present with probability $\psi((i - j)/b)$ and not present with probability $1 - \psi((i - j)/b)$. Below are some well known examples :

- In theoretical physics, the ensemble $\{\Gamma_n\}$ with $\psi(t) = e^{-|t|^s}$ is referred to as the Long-Range Percolation Model (see for example [8] and references therein). Our ensemble can be regarded as a modification of the adjacency matrices of $\{\Gamma_n\}$. To our best knowledge, the spectral properties of this model has not been studied yet.
- It is easy to see that if one takes $b_n = N$ and $\psi \equiv 1$, then one recovers the Wigner ensemble (1.1).

- If one considers $\psi(t) = I_{(-1/2, 1/2)}(t)$, one gets the band random matrix ensemble [25].

In present paper, we consider the resolvent $G_{n,b} = (H_{n,b} - zI)^{-1}$ and study the asymptotic expansion of the correlation function

$$C_n(z_1, z_2) = \mathbf{E}\{g_{n,b}(z_1)g_{n,b}(z_2)\} - \mathbf{E}\{g_{n,b}(z_1)\}\mathbf{E}\{g_{n,b}(z_2)\},$$

where we denoted $g_{n,b} = N^{-1}\text{Tr}G_{n,b}(z)$. Keeping z_l far from the real axis, we consider the leading term $T(z_1, z_2)$ of this expansion and find explicit expression for it. This term $T(r_1 + i0, r_2 - i0)$ regarded on the local scale $r_1 - r_2 = r/N$ exhibits different behavior depending on the rate of decay of the profile function $\psi(t)$.

Our main conclusion is that if $\psi(t) \sim |t|^{-1-\nu}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then the value $\nu = 2$ separates two major cases. If $\nu \in (1, 2)$, then the limit of $T(r)$ depend on ν . If $\nu \in (2, +\infty)$, then

$$\frac{1}{Nb}T(r) = -const \cdot \frac{\sqrt{N}}{b} \cdot \frac{1}{|r|^{3/2}}(1 + o(1)).$$

This asymptotic expression coincides with the result obtained in [16] for band random matrices. Then one can conclude that the ensemble under consideration and the band random matrix ensemble belong to the same universality class.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we define the random matrix ensemble $H_{n,b}$ of long-range percolation model, we state our main results and describe the scheme of their proofs. In section 3, we study the correlation function $C_{n,b}(z_1, z_2)$ and obtain the main relation for it. In section 4, we show that $\mathbf{Var}\{g_{n,b}(z)\}$ is bounded by $(Nb)^{-1}$ and find the leading term $T(z_1, z_2)$ of the correlation function under the moment condition that $\sup_{i,j} \mathbf{E}|a(i, j)|^{14} < \infty$. In section 5, we prove the auxiliary facts used in section 4. Expressions derived in section 4 are analyzed in section 6, where the asymptotic behavior of $T(z_1, z_2)$ is studied and the issue of the universal behaviour is discussed.

2 The ensemble, main results and technical tools

2.1 The ensemble and main results

Let us consider a family of independent real random variables $\mathcal{A}_n = \{a(i, j); |i|, |j| \leq n\}$ satisfying (1.1). Let $\psi(t)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, be a real continuous

even function such that :

$$0 \leq \psi(t) \leq 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(t) dt = 1. \quad (2.1)$$

Given real $b > 0$, we introduce a family of independent Bernoulli random variables $\mathcal{D}_b = \{d_b(i, j); |i|, |j| \leq n\}$ with the law

$$d_b(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } \psi((i-j)/b) \\ 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - \psi((i-j)/b). \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

This family is independent of the family $\mathcal{A}_n$. We assume that $\mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{D}_n$ are defined on the same probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbf{P})$ and we denote by $\mathbf{E}\{\cdot\}$ the mathematical expectation with respect to $\mathbf{P}$.

We define a real symmetric $N \times N$ random matrix $H_{n,b}$ by equality :

$$H_{n,b}(i, j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} a(i, j) d_b(i, j), \quad i \leq j, \quad |i|, |j| \leq n, \quad (2.3)$$

where $b \leq N$, $N = 2n + 1$. Here and below the family $\{H_{n,b}\}$ is referred to as the ensemble of random matrices of long-range percolation model. In what follows, we will need the existence of several absolute moments of $a(i, j)$ that we denote by

$$\mu_l = \sup_{|i|, |j| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{|a(i, j)|^l\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where the upper bound for l will be specified later.

We consider the resolvent

$$G_{n,b}(z) = (H_{n,b} - z)^{-1}, \quad \text{Im} z \neq 0.$$

Its normalized trace $g_{n,b}(z)$ coincides with the Stieltjes transform of the normalized eigenvalue counting function $\sigma_{n,b}(\lambda; H_{n,b})$ (1.3) :

$$g_{n,b}(z) = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} G_{n,b}(z) = \int (\lambda - z)^{-1} d\sigma_{n,b}(\lambda, H_{n,b}), \quad \text{Im} z \neq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

In [1], we have proved that if $\mu_3 < \infty$ (2.4) and $1 \ll b \ll N$, then

$$\lim_{n,b \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}\{g_{n,b}(z)\} = w(z)$$

for $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, where

$$\Lambda_\eta = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : \eta \leq |\text{Im} z|\}, \quad \eta = 2v + 1 \quad (2.6)$$

and the limiting function $w(z)$ verifies equation

$$w(z) = \frac{1}{-z - v^2 w(z)} \quad (2.7)$$

with v is determined by (1.2). Equation (2.7) has a unique solution in the class of functions such that $\text{Im}w(z)\text{Im}z > 0$, $\text{Im}z \neq 0$. This solution $w(z)$ is the Stieltjes transform of the semi-circle distribution (1.4). This result shows that the semi-circle law is valid for random matrices of long-range percolation model. As a by-product of proof, we have shown that

$$\mathbf{Var}\{g_{n,b}(z)\} = o(1), \quad z \in \Lambda_\eta, \quad \text{as } n, b \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.8)$$

and that the convergence $g_{n,b}(z) \rightarrow w(z)$ holds in probability.

In this paper, we improve the result (2.8) in two stages. On the first one we show that $\mathbf{Var}\{g_{n,b}(z)\} = O((Nb)^{-1})$ in the limit $n, b \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$b = O(n^\alpha), \quad 1/3 < \alpha < 1 \quad (2.9)$$

and this gives the convergence $g_{n,b}(z) \rightarrow w(z)$ with probability 1. Next, we find the explicit form of the leading term of the correlation function

$$C_{n,b}(z_1, z_2) = \mathbf{E}\{g_{n,b}(z_1)g_{n,b}(z_2)\} - \mathbf{E}\{g_{n,b}(z_1)\}\mathbf{E}\{g_{n,b}(z_2)\}.$$

We now formulate the main result of the paper, where we denote $w_1 = w(z_1)$ and $w_2 = w(z_2)$ are given by (2.7).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}_n$ be such that, in addition to (1.2), the following properties are verified :*

$$\mathbf{E}\{a(i, j)^3\} = \mathbf{E}\{a(i, j)^5\} = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}\{a(i, j)^{2m}\} = V_{2m}(1 + \delta_{ij})^m, \quad m = 2, 3 \quad (2.10)$$

for all $i \leq j$, $\mu_{14} < \infty$ (2.4) and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\psi(t)} dt < \infty.$$

Then in the limit $n, b \rightarrow \infty$ (2.9) and for $z_l \in \Lambda_\eta$ (2.6), $l = 1, 2$, equality

$$C_{n,b}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{Nb} T(z_1, z_2) + o\left(\frac{1}{Nb}\right) \quad (2.11)$$

holds with T is given by the formula

$$T(z_1, z_2) = Q(z_1, z_2) + \frac{2\Delta w_1^3 w_2^3}{(1 - v^2 w_1^2)(1 - v^2 w_2^2)} \quad (2.12)$$

with

$$Q(z_1, z_2) = \frac{v^2 w_2^2 w_1^2}{\pi(1 - v^2 w_1^2)(1 - v^2 w_2^2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tilde{\psi}(p)}{[1 - v^2 w_1 w_2 \tilde{\psi}(p)]^2} dp, \quad (2.13)$$

where $\tilde{\psi}(p)$ is the Fourier transform of ψ

$$\tilde{\psi}(p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(t) e^{ipt} dt$$

and

$$\Delta = V_4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(t) dt - 3v^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi^2(t) dt. \quad (2.14)$$

Under this conditions, Theorem 2.1 and relation (2.12) remain true with Δ replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n, b \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|i| \leq n} \left(b \sum_{|j| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{H(i, j)^4\} - 3\mathbf{E}\{H(i, j)^2\}^2 \right) \\ &= \lim_{n, b \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|i| \leq n} \left(\frac{1}{b} \sum_{|j| \leq n} (1 + \delta_{ij})^2 \left[V_4 \psi\left(\frac{i-j}{b}\right) - 3v^4 \psi\left(\frac{i-j}{b}\right)^2 \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

We would like to note that the form of (2.12) generalizes the expressions obtained in [16] and [19]. Namely, the term $Q(z_1, z_2)$ is derived for the case when the entries of random matrices H are gaussian random variables. The ensemble we consider is very similar to the band random matrices, but it represents a different model. The form of the last term is exactly the same as the one obtained in [19] for the Wigner random matrices. This shows that this term "forgets" the band-like structure of our matrices. All our computations and formulas are valid in the case of band random matrices $H_{n,b}(i, j) = b^{-1/2} a(i, j) [\psi((i-j)/b)]^{1/2}$ with not necessarily gaussian $a(i, j)$. Therefore Theorem 2.1 generalizes the results of paper [16]. In the case of band random matrices, one obtains the same expressions (2.11) and (2.12) with Δ (2.14) replaced by $\Delta_{band} = (V_4 - 3v^4) \int \psi^2(t) dt$, provided $a(i, j)$ are the same as in Theorem 2.1.

The results of Theorem 2.1 are used to study the universality properties of eigenvalue distribution. We do this in Section 6.

2.2 Cumulant expansions and resolvent identities

We prove Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 by using the method proposed in papers [19, 20] and further developed in a series of works [1, 16]. The basic tools of this method are given by the resolvent identities combined with the cumulant expansions technique.

2.2.1 The cumulant expansions formula

Let us consider a family $\{X_t : t = 1, \dots, m\}$ of independent real random variables defined on the same probability space such that $\mathbf{E}\{|X_t|^{q+2}\} < \infty$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t = 1, \dots, m$. Then for any complex-valued function $F(u_1, \dots, u_m)$ of the class $\mathcal{C}_\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and for all j , one has

$$\mathbf{E}\{X_t F(X_1, \dots, X_m)\} = \sum_{r=0}^q \frac{K_{r+1}}{r!} \mathbf{E} \left\{ \frac{\partial^r F(X_1, \dots, X_m)}{(\partial X_t)^r} \right\} + \epsilon_q(X_t), \quad (2.15)$$

where $K_r = \text{Cum}_r(X_t)$ is the r -th cumulant of X_t and the remainder $\epsilon_q(X_t)$ can be estimated by inequality

$$|\epsilon_q(X_t)| \leq C_q \sup_{U \in \mathbb{R}^m} \left| \frac{\partial^{q+1} F(U)}{\partial u_t^{q+1}} \right| \mathbf{E}\{|X_t|^{q+2}\}, \quad (2.16)$$

where C_q is a constant. Relations (2.15) and (2.16) can be proved by multiple using of the Taylor's formula (see [1, 19] for the proofs).

Remark 2.1. *The cumulants K_r can be expressed in terms of the moments $\check{\mu}_r = \mathbf{E}(X_t^r)$ of X_t .*

Indeed, let f_t be a complex-valued function of one real variable such that

$$f_t(x) = F(X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}, x, X_{t+1}, \dots, X_n)$$

and $f_t^{(r)}$ is its r -th derivative.

- *If $q = 1$ and $\mathbf{E}\{X_t\} = 0$, then*

$$K_1 = \check{\mu}_1 = 0, \quad K_2 = \check{\mu}_2 \quad (2.17)$$

and the remainder $\epsilon_1(X_t)$ is given by :

$$\epsilon_1(X_t) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E} \left\{ X_t^3 f_t^{(2)}(x_0) \right\} - K_2 \mathbf{E} \left\{ X_t f_t^{(2)}(x_1) \right\}. \quad (2.18)$$

- If $q = 3$ and $\mathbf{E}\{X_t\} = \mathbf{E}\{X_t^3\} = 0$, then

$$K_1 = K_3 = 0, \quad K_2 = \check{\mu}_2, \quad K_4 = \check{\mu}_4 - 3\check{\mu}_2^2 \quad (2.19)$$

and the remainder $\epsilon_3(X_t)$ is given by :

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_3(X_t) = & \frac{1}{4!} \mathbf{E} \left\{ X_t^5 f_t^{(4)}(x_0) \right\} - \frac{K_2}{3!} \mathbf{E} \left\{ X_t^3 f_t^{(4)}(x_1) \right\} \\ & - \frac{K_4}{3!} \mathbf{E} \left\{ X_t f_t^{(4)}(x_2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

- If $q = 5$ and $\mathbf{E}\{X_t\} = \mathbf{E}\{X_t^3\} = \mathbf{E}\{X_t^5\} = 0$, then the cumulants K_r , $r = 1, \dots, 4$ are given by (2.19),

$$K_5 = 0, \quad K_6 = \check{\mu}_6 - 15\check{\mu}_4\check{\mu}_2 + 30\check{\mu}_2^3 \quad (2.21)$$

and the remainder $\epsilon_5(X_t)$ is given by :

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_5(X_t) = & \frac{1}{6!} \mathbf{E} \left\{ X_t^7 f_t^{(6)}(x_0) \right\} - \frac{K_2}{5!} \mathbf{E} \left\{ X_t^5 f_t^{(6)}(x_1) \right\} \\ & - \frac{K_4}{(3!)^2} \mathbf{E} \left\{ X_t^3 f_t^{(6)}(x_2) \right\} - \frac{K_6}{5!} \mathbf{E} \left\{ X_t f_t^{(6)}(x_3) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

where for each $\nu = 0, \dots, 3$, x_ν is a real random variable that depends on X_t and such that $|x_\nu| \leq |X_t|$. In what follows, we denote $f_t^{(r)}(x_\nu) = [\partial^r F / \partial X_t^r]^{(\nu)}$.

2.2.2 Resolvent identities

For any two real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices h and $\tilde{h}$ and any non-real z the resolvent identity

$$(h - zI)^{-1} = (\tilde{h} - zI)^{-1} - (h - zI)^{-1}(\tilde{h} - h)(\tilde{h} - zI)^{-1} \quad (2.23)$$

is valid. Regarding (2.23) with, $\tilde{h} = 0$ and denoting $G = (h - zI)^{-1}$, we get equality

$$G(i, j) = \zeta \delta_{ij} - \zeta \sum_{s=1}^n G(i, s) h(s, j), \quad \zeta = -z^{-1}, \quad (2.24)$$

where $h(i, j)$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ are the entries of the matrix h , $G(i, j)$ are the entries of the resolvent G and δ denotes the Kronecker symbol.

Using (2.23) we derive for $G = (h - zI)^{-1}$, $|\text{Im}z| \neq 0$ equality

$$\frac{\partial G(s, t)}{\partial h(j, k)} = -\frac{1}{1 + \delta_{jk}} [G(s, j)G(k, t) + G(s, k)G(j, t)]. \quad (2.25)$$

We will also need two more formulas based on (2.25); these are expressions for $\partial^2 G(i, j) / \partial h(j, i)^2$ and $\partial^3 G(i, j) / \partial^3 h(j, i)$. We present them later.

2.2.3 The scheme of the proof of Theorem 2.1

In this subsection we present a schema of computation of the leading terms of $C_{n,b}(z_1, z_2)$ (cf. (2.11)).

Let us denote $g_l = g_{n,b}(z_l)$, $l = 1, 2$ (everywhere below, we omit the subscripts n, b when no confusion can arise). For a given a random variable, we denote $\xi^0 = \xi - \mathbf{E}\xi$. Then using identity

$$\mathbf{E}\{\xi^0 g^0\} = \mathbf{E}\{\xi^0 g\}, \quad (2.26)$$

we rewrite $C_{12} = C_{n,b}(z_1, z_2)$ as

$$C_{12} = \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 g_2\} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{|i| \leq n} R_{12}(i)$$

with $R_{12}(i) = \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i)\}$. Applying the resolvent identity (2.23) to $G_2(i, i)$, we obtain equality

$$R_{12}(i) = -\zeta_2 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, p) H(p, i)\}. \quad (2.27)$$

To compute $\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, p) H(p, i)\}$, we use the cumulants expansion method (2.15), and get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, p) H(p, i)\} &= K_2 \mathbf{E} \left\{ \frac{\partial (g_1^0 G_2(i, p))}{\partial H(p, i)} \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{K_4}{6} \mathbf{E} \left\{ \frac{\partial^3 (g_1^0 G_2(i, p))}{\partial H(p, i)^3} \right\} + \tau_{ip}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

where K_r is the r -th cumulant of $H(p, i)$ and τ_{ip} vanishes. Substituting this equality in (2.27) and using (2.25), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \{g_1^0 G_2(i, p)\}}{\partial H(p, i)} &= g_1^0 \frac{\partial G_2(i, p)}{\partial H(p, i)} + G_2(i, p) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{|s| \leq n} \frac{\partial G_1(s, s)}{\partial H(p, i)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{1 + \delta_{pi}} g_1^0 [G_2(i, p)^2 + G_2(i, i) G_2(p, p)] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{1 + \delta_{pi}} \left\{ \frac{2}{N} G_1^2(i, p) G_2(i, p) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

where we used (2.25) in the form

$$\frac{\partial \{g_1^0 G_2(i, p)\}}{\partial H(p, i)} = \left\{ \frac{\partial (g_1^0 G_2(i, p))}{\partial h(p, i)} \Big|_{h=H} \right\}.$$

We get relation

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{12}(i) = & \zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E} \left\{ g_1^0 G_2(i, i) \sum_{|p| \leq n} G_2(p, p) \frac{1}{b} \psi \left(\frac{p-i}{b} \right) \right\} \\
& + \frac{\zeta_2 v^2}{b} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ g_1^0 G_2(i, p)^2 \} \psi \left(\frac{p-i}{b} \right) \\
& + \frac{2\zeta_2 v^2}{Nb} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ G_1^2(i, p) G_2(i, p) \} \psi \left(\frac{p-i}{b} \right) \\
& - \frac{\zeta_2}{6} \sum_{|p| \leq n} K_4 \mathbf{E} \left\{ \frac{\partial^3 (g_1^0 G_2(i, p))}{\partial H(p, i)^3} \right\} + \Phi_{n,b}(i), \tag{2.30}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\sup_{|i| \leq n} |\Phi_{n,b}(i)|$ vanishes as $n, b \rightarrow \infty$ (2.9) (see subsection 3.2 for more details). Also we have taken into account that (cf. (2.19))

$$K_2(p, i) = K_2(H_{n,b}(p, i)) = \frac{1}{b} \mathbf{E} \{ a(p, i)^2 d_{n,b}(p, i)^2 \} = \frac{v^2}{b} \psi \left(\frac{p-i}{b} \right) (1 + \delta_{pi}).$$

Let us return to relation (2.30). We observe that the first term of the right-hand side (RHS) can be expressed in terms of R_{12} . This gives the possibility to obtain an equation of R_{12} . The second term vanishes in the limit $n, b \rightarrow \infty$ (we give later the explicit formulation). The third term represents the leading term of the correlations function (which provides the first expression of (2.12)). The fourth term gives the contribution of the order $O((Nb)^{-1})$ to (2.11) (which provides the second expression of the leading term (2.12)). The last term $\Phi_{n,b}(i)$ gives the contribution of the order $o((Nb)^{-1})$ to (2.11) (see Lemma 3.2).

3 Correlation function of the resolvent

In this section we give the main relation of the correlation function $C_{n,b}(z_1, z_2)$. In what follows, we will need two elementary inequalities

$$|G(i, p)| \leq \|G\| \leq \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} z|}, \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{|p| \leq n} |G(i, p)|^2 = \|G \vec{e}_i\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Im} z|^2}, \quad |i| \leq n \tag{3.2}$$

that hold for the resolvent of any real symmetric matrix. Here and below we consider $\|e\|_2^2 = \sum_i |e(i)|^2$ and denote by $\|G\| = \sup_{\|e\|_2=1} \|Ge\|_2$ the corresponding operator norm.

3.1 Derivation of relations for $R_{12}(i)$

Let us consider the average $\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, p) H(p, i)\}$. For each pair (i, p) , $g_1^0 G_2(i, p)$ is a smooth function of $H(p, i)$. Its derivatives are bounded because of equation (2.25) and (3.1). In particular

$$|D_{pi}^6 \{\hat{g}_1^0 \hat{G}_2(i, p)\}| \leq C (|\text{Im} z_1|^{-1} + |\text{Im} z_2|^{-1})^8,$$

where C is an absolute constant. Here and thereafter we use the notation D_{pi} for $\partial/\partial H(p, i)$.

According to the definition of H and the condition $\mu_7 < \infty$ (2.4), the seven absolute moment of $H(p, i)$ is of order $1/(b^{7/2})$. Then we can apply (2.15) with $q = 5$ to $\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, p) H(p, i)\}$ and using (2.23), we get relation

- if $p < i$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, p) H(p, i)\} = & K_2(H(p, i)) \mathbf{E}\{D_{pi}^1(g_1^0 G_2(i, p))\} \\ & + \frac{K_4(H(p, i))}{6} \mathbf{E}\{D_{pi}^3(g_1^0 G_2(i, p))\} \\ & + \frac{K_6(H(p, i))}{120} \mathbf{E}\{D_{pi}^5(g_1^0 G_2(i, p))\} + \tilde{\epsilon}_{pi} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\epsilon}_{pi} = & \frac{1}{6!} \mathbf{E}\{H(p, i)^7 [D_{pi}^6(g_1^0 G_2(i, p))]^{(0)}\} \\ & - \frac{K_2(H(p, i))}{5!} \mathbf{E}\{H(p, i)^5 [D_{pi}^6(g_1^0 G_2(i, p))]^{(1)}\} \\ & - \frac{K_4(H(p, i))}{(3!)^2} \mathbf{E}\{H(p, i)^3 [D_{pi}^6(g_1^0 G_2(i, p))]^{(2)}\} \\ & - \frac{K_6(H(p, i))}{5!} \mathbf{E}\{H(p, i) [D_{pi}^6(g_1^0 G_2(i, p))]^{(3)}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where the cumulants are given by (cf. (2.19)-(2.21))

$$K_2(H(p, i)) = \frac{v^2}{b} \psi\left(\frac{p-i}{b}\right) (1 + \delta_{pi}), \quad K_4(H(p, i)) = \frac{\Delta_{pi}}{b^2} (1 + \delta_{pi})^2 \quad (3.5)$$

with $\Delta_{pi} = V_4\psi((p-i)/b) - 3v^4\psi((p-i)/b)^2$ and

$$K_6(H(p, i)) = \frac{\theta_{pi}}{b^3}(1 + \delta_{pi})^3, \quad (3.6)$$

with $\theta_{pi} = V_6\psi((p-i)/b) - 15V_4v^2\psi((p-i)/b)^2 + 30v^6\psi((p-i)/b)^3$. In (3.4), we have denoted for each pair (p, i)

$$[g_1^0 G_2(i, p)]^{(\nu)} = \{g^{(\nu)}\}_{pi}^0(z_1) G_{pi}^{(\nu)}(i, p; z_2), \quad \nu = 0, \dots, 3$$

and $G_{pi}^{(\nu)}(z_l) = (H_{pi}^{(\nu)} - z_l)^{-1}$, $l = 1, 2$ with real symmetric

$$H_{pi}^{(\nu)}(r, s) = \begin{cases} H(r, s) & \text{if } (r, s) \neq (p, i); \\ H^{(\nu)}(p, i) & \text{if } (r, s) = (p, i), \end{cases}$$

where $|H^{(\nu)}(p, i)| \leq |H(p, i)|$, $\nu = 0, \dots, 3$ (see subsection 2.2.1 for more detail).

- If $i < p$, then using equality $H(p, i) = H(i, p)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, p)H(i, p)\} &= K_2(H(i, p)) \mathbf{E}\{D_{ip}^1(g_1^0 G_2(i, p))\} \\ &\quad + \frac{K_4(H(i, p))}{6} \mathbf{E}\{D_{ip}^3(g_1^0 G_2(i, p))\} \\ &\quad + \frac{K_6(H(i, p))}{120} \mathbf{E}\{D_{ip}^5(g_1^0 G_2(i, p))\} + \tilde{\epsilon}_{ip}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where $\tilde{\epsilon}_{ip}$ is given by (3.4) with replaced D_{pi} by D_{ip} and K_r are the cumulants of $H(i, p)$ as in (3.5)-(3.6).

- If $p = i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i)H(i, i)\} &= K_2(H(i, i)) \mathbf{E}\{D_{ii}^1(g_1^0 G_2(i, i))\} \\ &\quad + \frac{K_4(H(i, i))}{6} \mathbf{E}\{D_{ii}^3(g_1^0 G_2(i, i))\} \\ &\quad + \frac{K_6(H(i, i))}{120} \mathbf{E}\{D_{ii}^5(g_1^0 G_2(i, i))\} + \tilde{\epsilon}_{ii}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $\tilde{\epsilon}_{ii}$ is given by (3.4) with replaced D_{pi} by D_{ii} and K_r are the cumulants of $H(i, i)$ as in (3.5)-(3.6).

Substituting (3.3), (3.7) and (3.8) into (2.27) and using (2.29), we obtain

equality

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{12}(i) = & \zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E} \left\{ g_1^0 G_2(i, i) \sum_{|p| \leq n} G_2(p, p) \frac{1}{b} \psi \left(\frac{p-i}{b} \right) \right\} \\
& + \frac{\zeta_2 v^2}{b} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ g_1^0 G_2(i, p)^2 \} \psi \left(\frac{p-i}{b} \right) \\
& + \frac{2\zeta_2 v^2}{Nb} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ G_1^2(i, p) G_2(i, p) \} \psi \left(\frac{p-i}{b} \right) \\
& - \frac{\zeta_2}{6} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \frac{\Delta_{pi}}{b^2} \mathbf{E} \{ D_{pi}^3 (g_1^0 G_2(i, p)) \} - \frac{\zeta_2 \Delta_{ii}}{2b^2} \mathbf{E} \{ D_{ii}^3 (g_1^0 G_2(i, i)) \} \\
& - \frac{\zeta_2}{120} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \frac{\theta_{pi}}{b^3} (1 + \delta_{pi})^3 \mathbf{E} \{ D_{pi}^5 (g_1^0 G_2(i, p)) \} + \epsilon_i
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_i = & -\zeta_2 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \frac{1}{6!} \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, i)^7 [D_{pi}^6 (g_1^0 G_2(i, p))]^{(0)} \} \\
& + \zeta_2 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \frac{K_2}{5!} \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, i)^5 [D_{pi}^6 (g_1^0 G_2(i, p))]^{(1)} \} \\
& + \zeta_2 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \frac{K_4}{(3!)^2} \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, i)^3 [D_{pi}^6 (g_1^0 G_2(i, p))]^{(2)} \} \\
& + \zeta_2 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \frac{K_6}{5!} \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, i) [D_{pi}^6 (g_1^0 G_2(i, p))]^{(3)} \},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where K_r are the cumulants of $H(p, i)$ as in (3.5)-(3.6).

3.2 Main relation for $R_{12}(i)$

To give the complete description of R_{12} , we use the notation

$$U(p, i) = \frac{1}{b} \psi \left(\frac{p-i}{b} \right), \quad U_G(i) = \sum_{|p| \leq n} G(p, p) U(p, i)$$

and introduce the identity

$$\mathbf{E} \{ \xi g \} = \mathbf{E} \{ \xi \} \mathbf{E} \{ g \} + \mathbf{E} \{ \xi g^0 \}. \tag{3.11}$$

Then, we rewrite the first term of the RHS of (3.9) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E} \left\{ g_1^0 G_2(i, i) \sum_{|p| \leq n} G_2(p, p) U(p, i) \right\} \\ &= \zeta_2 v^2 R_{12}(i) \mathbf{E}\{U_{G_2}(i)\} + \zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i) U_{G_2}^0(i)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now computing the partial derivatives with the help of (2.25), we obtain the following relation for R_{12}

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(i) &= \zeta_2 v^2 R_{12}(i) \mathbf{E}\{U_{G_2}(i)\} + \zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i) U_{G_2}^0(i)\} \\ &+ \frac{2\zeta_2 v^2}{N} \sum_{|p| \leq n} F_{12}(i, p) U(p, i) + \frac{1}{Nb} \Upsilon_{12}(i) + \sum_{r=1}^7 Y_r(i) + \epsilon_i \quad (3.12) \end{aligned}$$

with $F_{12}(i, p) = \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, p) G_2(i, p)\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_{12}(i) &= \frac{\zeta_2}{b} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \Delta_{pi} \mathbf{E} \{ [G_1^2(i, i) G_1(p, p) + G_1^2(p, p) G_1(i, i)] G_2(i, i) G_2(p, p) \}, \\ & \quad (3.13) \end{aligned}$$

the terms $Y_r(i)$, $r = 1, \dots, 7$ are given by relations

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1(i) &= \frac{\zeta_2}{b^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i)^2 G_2(p, p)^2\} \Delta_{pi}, \\ Y_2(i) &= \zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E} \left\{ g_1^0 \sum_{|p| \leq n} G_2(i, p)^2 U(p, i) \right\}, \\ Y_3(i) &= \frac{\zeta_2}{b^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ g_1^0 G_2(i, p)^4 + 6g_1^0 G_2(i, p)^2 G_2(i, i) G_2(p, p) \} \Delta_{pi}, \\ Y_4(i) &= \frac{2\zeta_2}{Nb^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ G_1^2(i, p) G_2(i, p)^3 + 3G_1^2(i, p) G_2(i, p) G_2(i, i) G_2(p, p) \} \Delta_{pi}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_5(i) &= \frac{2\zeta_2}{Nb^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ G_1^2(i, p) G_1(i, p) G_2(i, p)^2 + G_1^2(i, p) G_1(i, p) G_2(i, i) G_2(p, p) \} \Delta_{pi} \\
&\quad + \frac{\zeta_2}{Nb^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ G_1^2(i, i) G_1(p, p) G_2(i, p)^2 + G_1^2(p, p) G_1(i, i) G_2(i, p)^2 \} \Delta_{pi} \\
&\quad + \frac{2\zeta_2}{Nb^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ G_1^2(i, p) G_1(i, p)^2 G_2(i, p) + G_1^2(i, i) G_1(p, p) G_1(i, p) G_2(i, p) \} \Delta_{pi} \\
&\quad + \frac{2\zeta_2}{Nb^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ G_1^2(i, p) G_1(p, p) G_1(i, i) G_2(i, p) \} \Delta_{pi} \\
&\quad + \frac{2\zeta_2}{Nb^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ G_1^2(p, p) G_1(i, i) G_1(i, p) G_2(i, p) \} \Delta_{pi}, \\
Y_6(i) &= -\frac{3\zeta_2}{b^2} \left(\mathbf{E} \{ g_1^0 G_2(i, i)^4 \} + \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E} \{ G_1^2(i, i) G_2(i, i)^3 \} \right) \Delta_{ii} \\
&\quad - \frac{3\zeta_2}{Nb^2} \mathbf{E} \{ G_1^2(i, i) G_1(i, i) G_2(i, i) [G_1(i, i) + G_2(i, i)] \} \Delta_{ii}, \\
Y_7(i) &= -\frac{\zeta_2}{120} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \frac{\theta_{pi}}{b^3} (1 + \delta_{pi})^3 \mathbf{E} \{ D_{pi}^5 (g_1^0 G_2(i, p)) \}
\end{aligned}$$

and ϵ_i given by (3.10). The first and the second terms of the RHS of (3.12) is expressed in terms of R_{12} and this finally gives a closed relation for R_{12} . The third and forth terms of the RHS of (3.12) give a non-zero contribution to R_{12} that provide the expression of the leading term $T(z_1, z_2)$ (2.12). We will compute this contribution later (see subsection 4.3). The two last terms of (3.12) contributes with $o((Nb)^{-1})$ to (2.11). We formalize this proposition in the following two statements.

Lemma 3.1. *Under conditions of Theorem 2.1, the estimate*

$$\max_{r=1,2} \left\{ \sup_{|i| \leq n} |Y_r(i)| \right\} = O \left(b^{-2} n^{-1} + b^{-2} [\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}]^{1/2} \right). \quad (3.14)$$

is true in the limit $n, b \rightarrow \infty$ (2.9).

We postpone the proof of Lemma 3.1 to the next section.

Lemma 3.2. *Under conditions of Theorem 2.1, the estimate*

$$\max_{r=3,4,5,6,7} \left\{ \sup_{|i| \leq n} |Y_r(i)| \right\} = O \left(b^{-2} n^{-1} + b^{-2} [\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}]^{1/2} \right) \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\sup_{|i| \leq n} |\epsilon_i| = O(b^{-2}n^{-1} + b^{-2}[\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}]^{1/2}) \quad (3.16)$$

are true in the limit $n, b \rightarrow \infty$ (2.9).

Proof of Lemma 3.2. We start with (3.15). Inequality (3.1) and (3.2) implies that if $z_l \in \Lambda_\eta$, then

$$|Y_3(i)| \leq \frac{7[V_4 + 3v^4]}{\eta^3 b^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}|g_1^0 G_2(i, p)|^2 = O\left(\frac{1}{b^2} \{\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}\}^{1/2}\right).$$

To estimate Y_r , $r = 4, 5$, we use (3.1), (3.2) and inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|i| \leq n} \mathbf{E}|G_1^m(i, p) G_2(i, p)| &\leq \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{|i| \leq n} |G_1^m(i, p)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{|i| \leq n} |G_2(i, p)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta^{m+1}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

with $m = 1, 2$. Then we get that $|Y_4(i)| \leq 8[V_4 + v^4]/(\eta^6 N b^2)$. Using (3.1), (3.2) and (3.17) with $m = 1, 2$, we obtain that the terms $\sup_i |Y_r(i)|$, $r = 5, 6$ are all of the order indicated in (3.15).

Let us estimate Y_7 . Let us accept for the moment that

$$\mathbf{E}|D_{pi}^5\{g_1^0 G_2(i, p)\}| = O(N^{-1} + [\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}]^{1/2}), \quad \text{as } n, p \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.18)$$

holds. Using this estimate and relation (2.1), we obtain that

$$\sum_{|p| \leq n} \left| \frac{\theta_{pi}}{b} \right| \leq c \sum_{|p| \leq n} \frac{1}{b} \psi\left(\frac{p-i}{b}\right) = O(1)$$

and that

$$\sup_{|i| \leq n} |Y_7(i)| = O(b^{-2}n^{-1} + b^{-2}\{\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}\}^{1/2})$$

where c is a constant.

Now let us prove (3.18). Using (2.25) and (3.1), we get for $z_1 \in \Lambda_\eta$

$$D_{pi}\{g_1^0\} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{|t| \leq n} D_{pi}\{G_1(t, t)\} = -\frac{2}{N} G_1^2(i, p) = O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right).$$

It is easy to show that

$$D_{pi}^r\{g_1^0\} = O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right), \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, \quad z \in \Lambda_\eta. \quad (3.19)$$

Then (3.18) follows from (3.19) and (3.1). Estimate (3.15) is proved.

To proceed with estimates of ϵ_i (3.16), we use the following simple statement, proved in the previous work [1].

Lemma 3.3. (see [1]) *If $z_l \in \Lambda_\eta$, $l = 1, 2$, under conditions of Theorem 2.1, the estimates*

$$\mathbf{Var}([g_{n,b}(z_l)]^{(\nu)}) = O(\mathbf{Var}\{g_{n,b}(z_l)\} + b^{-1}N^{-2}), \quad \nu = 0, \dots, 3 \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$D_{pi}^6 \{g_1^0 G_2(i, p)\} = O(N^{-1} + |g_1^0|) \quad (3.21)$$

are true in the limit $n, b \rightarrow \infty$ (2.9).

Now regarding the first term of (3.10) and using (3.20) and (3.21), we obtain inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} |H(p, i)^7 [D_{pi}^6 (g_1^0 G_2(i, p))]^{(0)}| &\leq c_1 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \left\{ \frac{|H(p, i)|^7}{N} + |H(p, i)|^7 |g_1^0|^{(0)} \right\} \\ &\leq c_1 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \frac{\hat{\mu}_7}{N b^{7/2}} \psi\left(\frac{p-i}{b}\right) + c_1 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \frac{(\hat{\mu}_{14})^{1/2}}{b^{7/2}} \left(\psi\left(\frac{p-i}{b}\right)\right)^{1/2} (\mathbf{Var}\{[g_1]^{(0)}\})^{1/2} \\ &= O(N^{-1}b^{-2} + b^{-2}[\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}]^{1/2}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where c is a constant.

Regarding the last term of the right-hand side of (3.10) and using (3.20) and (3.21), we obtain inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|p| \leq n} K_6 \mathbf{E} |H(p, i) [D_{pi}^6 (g_1^0 G_2(i, p))]^{(3)}| \\ \leq \frac{c_2}{b^2} \left(\frac{1}{b} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \psi\left(\frac{p-i}{b}\right) \right) \left(\frac{\hat{\mu}_1 \psi(\frac{p-i}{b})}{N b^{1/2}} + \frac{\hat{\mu}_2^{1/2} (\psi(\frac{p-i}{b}))^{1/2}}{b^{1/2}} (\mathbf{Var}\{[g_1]^{(3)}\})^{1/2} \right) \\ = O(N^{-1}b^{-2} + b^{-2}[\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}]^{1/2}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

where c_2 is a constant.

Repeating previous computations of (3.23), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|p| \leq n} K_4 \mathbf{E} |H(p, i)^3 [D_{pi}^6 (g_1^0 G_2(i, p))]^{(2)}| + \sum_{|p| \leq n} K_2 \mathbf{E} |H(p, i)^5 D_{pi}^6 [g_1^0 G_2(i, p)]^{(1)}| \\ = O(N^{-1}b^{-2} + b^{-2}[\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}]^{1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Then (3.16) follows from the estimates given by relations (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24). Lemma 3.2 is proved. $\blacksquare$

Let us come back to relation (3.12). Using equality

$$\sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2^0(p, p)\} U(p, i) = \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 U_{G_2}^0(i) G_2^0(i, i)\} + U_{R_{12}}(i) \mathbf{E}\{G_2(i, i)\},$$

we obtain the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(i) = & \zeta_2 v^2 R_{12}(i) U_{\mathbf{E}(G_2)}(i) + \zeta_2 v^2 U_{R_{12}}(i) \mathbf{E}\{G_2(i, i)\} \\ & + \frac{2\zeta_2 v^2}{N} \sum_{|p| \leq n} F_{12}(i, p) U(p, i) + \frac{1}{Nb} \Upsilon_{12}(i) \\ & + \tau(i) + \sum_{r=1}^7 Y_r(i) + \epsilon_i, \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

where $F_{12}(i, p)$ is the same as in (3.12), Υ_{12} is given by (3.13) and

$$\tau(i) = \zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 U_{G_2}^0(i) G_2^0(i, i)\}. \quad (3.26)$$

Relation (3.25) is the main equality used for the proof of Theorem 2.1. We use (3.25) twice : at the first stage we estimate the variance $\mathbf{Var}\{g_{n,b}(z)\}$ and at the second one we obtain explicit expressions for the leading term of $C_{n,b}(z_1, z_2)$. This will be done this in the next section.

4 Variance and leading term of $C_{n,b}(z_1, z_2)$

In this section we give the estimate of the variance and the proof of Theorem 2.1, postponing some technical results to the next section.

4.1 Estimate of the variance

Let us define an auxiliary variable

$$q_2(i) = \frac{\zeta_2}{1 - \zeta_2 v^2 U_{g_2}(i)}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $g_2(i) = \mathbf{E}G_2(i, i)$. Then we can rewrite (3.25) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}(i) = & v^2 q_2(i) U_{R_{12}}(i) g_2(i) + \frac{1}{Nb} (2v^2 q_2(i) b [F_{12}U](i, i) + q_2(i) \zeta_2^{-1} \Upsilon_{12}(i)) \\ & + q_2(i) \zeta_2^{-1} \left(\tau(i) + \sum_{r=1}^7 Y_r(i) + \epsilon_i \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

with

$$[F_{12}U](i, i) = \sum_{|p| \leq n} F_{12}(i, p)U(p, i),$$

where $F_{12}(i, p)$ is the same as in (3.12) and Υ_{12} is given by (3.13).

Now let us estimate the terms of the RHS of (4.2). Taking into account that $U(p, i) \leq b^{-1}$ and using inequalities (3.17) with $m = 2$, it is easy to see that if $z_l \in \Lambda_\eta$, then

$$\frac{1}{N} |[F_{12}U](i, i)| \leq \frac{1}{\eta^3 N b} = O\left(\frac{1}{N b}\right). \quad (4.3)$$

Let us estimate Υ_{12} (3.13). Using (3.1) and inequality $|\Delta_{pi}| \leq [V_4 + 3v^4]\psi((p - i)/b)$, we obtain that

$$|q_2(i)| \leq \frac{1}{|\text{Im} z_2|}, \quad z_2 \in \Lambda_\eta \quad (4.4)$$

and that

$$|q_2(i)\zeta_2^{-1}\Upsilon_{12}(i)| \leq \frac{2[V_4 + 3v^4]}{\eta^6} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \frac{1}{b} \psi\left(\frac{p - i}{b}\right) = O(1). \quad (4.5)$$

To estimate the term τ (3.26), we use the following statement.

Lemma 4.1. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.1, the estimate*

$$\sup_{|i|, |s| \leq n} |\mathbf{E} g^0(z) G^0(i, i) U_G^0(s)| = O\left(n^{-1} b^{-2} + b^{-2} [\mathbf{Var}\{g(z)\}]^{1/2}\right) \quad (4.6)$$

is true in the limit $n, b \rightarrow \infty$ (2.9).

We prove Lemma 4.1 in section 5.

It follows from results of Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and relation (4.6), that if $z_j \in \Lambda_\eta$, then

$$\sup_{|i| \leq n} \left| q_2(i) \zeta_2^{-1} \left(\tau(i) + \sum_{r=1}^7 Y_r(i) + \epsilon_i \right) \right| = O\left(N^{-1} b^{-2} + b^{-2} \{\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}\}^{1/2}\right). \quad (4.7)$$

Let us denote $r_{12} = \sup_i |R_{12}(i)|$. Regarding estimates (4.3), (4.5) and (4.7), we derive from (4.2) inequality

$$r_{12} \leq \frac{v^2}{\eta^2} r_{12} + \frac{A}{bN} + \frac{1}{b^2} \sqrt{r_{12}}$$

for some constant A . Since r_{12} is bounded for all $z_l \in \Lambda_\eta$, then $r_{12} = O((Nb)^{-1} + b^{-4})$. Using condition (2.9) and taking $z = z_1 = \bar{z}_2$, one obtains that

$$\mathbf{Var}\{g_{n,b}(z)\} = O\left(\frac{1}{Nb}\right). \quad (4.8)$$

Substituting (4.8) into (4.7), we obtain that

$$\sup_{|i| \leq n} \left| q_2(i) \zeta_2^{-1} \left(\tau(i) + \sum_{r=1}^7 Y_r(i) + \epsilon_i \right) \right| = o\left(\frac{1}{Nb}\right) \quad (4.9)$$

in the limit $n, b \rightarrow \infty$ (2.9) and for all $z_l \in \Lambda_\eta$, $l = 1, 2$. This proves (2.11).

4.2 Leading term of the correlation function

Assuming that (4.9) is true, we rewrite (4.2) in the form

$$R_{12}(i) = v^2 q_2(i) g_2(i) U_{R_{12}}(i) + \frac{1}{Nb} f_{12}(i) + \Gamma(i) \quad (4.10)$$

with

$$f_{12}(i) = 2v^2 q_2(i) b [F_{12}U](i, i) + q_2(i) \zeta_2^{-1} \Upsilon_{12}(i), \quad (4.11)$$

where $F_{12}(i, p)$ is the same as in (3.25) and Υ_{12} is given by (3.13). We have denoted the vanishing terms by

$$\Gamma(i) = q_2(i) \zeta_2^{-1} \left(\tau(i) + \sum_{r=1}^7 Y_r(i) + \epsilon_i \right). \quad (4.12)$$

To obtain an explicit expression for the leading term of $C_{n,b}(z_1, z_2)$, it is necessary to study in detail the variables F_{12} and Υ_{12} . Let us formulate the corresponding statements and the auxiliary relations needed. Given a positive integer L , set

$$B_L \equiv B_L(n, b) = \{i \in \mathbb{Z}; |i| \leq n - bL\}. \quad (4.13)$$

Lemma 4.2. *If $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, then for arbitrary positive ϵ and large enough values of n and b (2.9) there exists a positive integer $L = L(\epsilon)$ such that relations*

$$\sup_{i \in B_L} \left| b[F_{12}U](i, i) - \frac{w_2 w_1^2}{2\pi(1 - v^2 w_1^2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tilde{\psi}(p)}{[1 - v^2 w_1 w_2 \tilde{\psi}(p)]^2} dp \right| \leq \epsilon \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$\sup_{i \in B_L} \left| q_2(i) \zeta_2^{-1} \Upsilon_{12}(i) - \frac{2\Delta w_1^3 w_2^3}{1 - v^2 w_1^2} \right| \leq \epsilon \quad (4.15)$$

hold for enough n and b satisfying (2.9) with Δ is given by (2.14).

The proof of Lemma 4.2 is based on the following statement formulated for the product $G_1 G_2$.

Lemma 4.3. *Given positive ϵ , there exists a positive integer $L = L(\epsilon)$ such that relations*

$$\sup_{i \in B_L} \left| \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, i)\} - \frac{w_1^2}{1 - v^2 w_1^2} \right| \leq \epsilon, \quad (4.16)$$

$$\sup_{i \in B_L} \left| b \sum_{|s| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i, s) G_2(i, s)\} U^k(s, i) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{w_1 w_2 \tilde{\psi}^k(p)}{1 - v^2 w_1 w_2 \tilde{\psi}(p)} dp \right| \leq \epsilon \quad (4.17)$$

and

$$\sup_{i \in B_L} \left| \sum_{|s| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i, s) G_2(i, s)\} - \frac{w_1 w_2}{1 - v^2 w_1 w_2} \right| \leq \epsilon \quad (4.18)$$

hold for enough n and b satisfying (2.9) for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, all $z_j \in \Lambda_\eta$, $j = 1, 2$.

We postpone the proof of Lemma 4.3 to the next section.

4.3 Proof of Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 2.1

4.3.1 Proof of Lemma 4.2

We start with (4.14). Let us consider the average $F_{12}(i, s) = \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, s) G_2(i, s)\}$. Applying to $G_2(i, s)$ the resolvent identity (2.23), we obtain equality

$$F_{12}(i, s) = \zeta_2 \delta_{is} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, i)\} - \zeta_2 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, s) G_2(i, p) H(p, s)\}.$$

Applying formula (2.15) to $\mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, s) G_2(i, p) H(p, s)\}$ with $q = 3$ and taking into account (2.25), we get relation

$$\begin{aligned} F_{12}(i, s) = & \zeta_2 \delta_{is} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, i)\} + \zeta_2 v^2 [t_{12} U](i, s) \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(s, s)\} \\ & + \zeta_2 v^2 [F_{12} U](i, s) g_1(s) + \zeta_2 v^2 F_{12}(i, s) U_{g_2}(s) \\ & + \sum_{r=1}^5 \beta_r(i, s), \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

where we denoted $g_l(s) = \mathbf{E}\{G_l(s, s)\}$, $l = 1, 2$, $t_{12}(i, s) = \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i, s)G_2(i, s)\}$ and the terms β_l , $l = 1, \dots, 5$ are given by :

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1(i, s) &= \zeta_2 v^2 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(p, s)G_1(i, s)G_2(i, p)\}U(p, s) \\
&\quad + \zeta_2 v^2 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, s)G_1(p, s)G_2(i, p)\}U(p, s) \\
&\quad + \zeta_2 v^2 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, s)G_2(p, s)G_2(i, p)\}U(p, s), \\
\beta_2(i, s) &= \zeta_2 v^2 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i, p)G_2(i, p)(G_1^2(s, s))^0\}U(p, s) \\
&\quad + \zeta_2 v^2 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, p)G_2(i, p)G_1^0(s, s)\}U(p, s), \\
\beta_3(i, s) &= \zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, s)G_2(i, s)U_{G_2}^0(s)\}, \\
\beta_4(i, s) &= -\frac{\zeta_2}{6} \sum_{|p| \leq n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\{D_{ps}^3(G^2(i, s)G_2(i, p))\},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_5(i, s) &= -\frac{\zeta_2}{4!} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{H(p, s)^5[D_{ps}^4(G^2(i, s)G_2(i, p))]^{(0)}\} \\
&\quad + \frac{\zeta_2}{3!} \sum_{|p| \leq n} K_2 \mathbf{E}\{H(p, s)^3[D_{ps}^4(G^2(i, s)G_2(i, p))]^{(1)}\} \\
&\quad + \frac{\zeta_2}{3!} \sum_{|p| \leq n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\{H(p, s)[D_{ps}^4(G^2(i, s)G_2(i, p))]^{(2)}\}
\end{aligned}$$

with K_r are the cumulants of $H(p, s)$ as in (3.5)-(3.6).

Let us accept for the moment that

$$\max_{j=1, \dots, 5} \left\{ \sup_{|i|, |s| \leq n} |\beta_r(i, s)| \right\} = O(b^{-1}), \quad \text{as } n, b \rightarrow \infty \quad (4.20)$$

holds for enough n and b satisfying (2.9). Using them and the definition of $q_2(s)$ (4.1), we rewrite (4.19) in the form

$$F_{12}(i, s) = v^2 g_1(s) q_2(s) [F_{12}U](i, s) + R_1(i, s) + R_2(i, s) + \beta(i, s), \quad (4.21)$$

where we denoted

$$R_1(i, s) = q_2(i) \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, i)\} \delta_{is}, \quad (4.22)$$

$$R_2(i, s) = v^2 q_2(s) [t_{12} U](i, s) \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(s, s)\} \quad (4.23)$$

and the vanishing term

$$\beta(i, s) = \frac{q_2(s)}{\zeta_2} \sum_{r=1}^5 \beta_r(i, s).$$

We define the linear operator W that acts on the space of $N \times N$ matrices F according to the formula

$$[WF](i, s) = v^2 g_1(s) q_2(s) \sum_{|p| \leq n} F(i, p) U(p, s).$$

It is easy to see that if $z_l \in \Lambda_\eta$, then the estimates (3.1) and (4.4) imply that $|R_1| \leq \eta^{-3}$ and $|R_2| \leq v^2 \eta^{-5}$ and that

$$\|W\|_{(1,1)} \leq \frac{v^2}{\eta^2} < \frac{1}{2}, \quad (4.24)$$

where the norm of $N \times N$ matrix A is determined as $\|A\|_{(1,1)} = \sup_{i,s} |A(i, s)|$. This estimate verified by the direct computation of the norm $\|WA\|_{(1,1)}$ with $\|A\|_{(1,1)} = 1$. Then (4.21) can be rewritten as

$$F_{12}(i, s) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} [W^m (R_1 + R_2 + \beta)](i, s). \quad (4.25)$$

The next steps of the proof of (4.14) are very elementary. To do this, we start with the following statements, proved in the previous work [1].

Lemma 4.4. *(see [1]) Given positive ϵ , there exists a positive integer $L = L(\epsilon)$ such that relations*

$$\sup_{i \in B_L} |\mathbf{E}\{G(i, i; z)\} - w(z)| \leq \epsilon, \quad z \in \Lambda_\eta \quad (4.26)$$

and

$$\sup_{i \in B_L} |q(i; z) - w(z)| \leq 2\epsilon \quad z \in \Lambda_\eta \quad (4.27)$$

hold for enough n and b satisfying (2.9), where w and q are given by (2.7) and (4.1).

Now let us return to relation (4.25). We consider the first M terms of the infinite series and use the decay of the matrix elements $U(i, s) = U^{(b)}(i, s)$. If one considers (4.22) and (4.23) with i and s taken far enough from the

endpoints $-n, n$, then the variables $g_1(j), q_2(k)$ enter into the finite series with j and k also far from the endpoints. Then one can use relations (4.26) and (4.27) and replace g_1 and q_2 by the constant values w_1 and w_2 , respectively. This substitution leads to simplified expressions with error terms that vanish as $n, b \rightarrow \infty$. The second step is similar. It is to show that we can use Lemma 4.3 and replace the terms R_1 and R_2 of the finite series of (4.22) and (4.23) by corresponding expressions given by formulas (4.16) and (4.17).

Let us start to perform this program. Taking into account the estimate of β (4.20) and using bounded-ness of the terms R_1 and R_2 , we can deduce from (4.25) equality

$$b \sum_{|s| \leq n} F_{12}(i, s) U(s, i) = b \sum_{m=0}^M [W^m(R_1 + R_2).U](i, i) + \kappa_1(i, i), \quad (4.28)$$

where $M > 0$ is such that given $\epsilon > 0$ and $|\kappa_1(i, i)| < \epsilon$ for large enough b and N . Now let us find such $h > 0$ that the following holds

$$\sup_{h \leq |t|} \psi(t) < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{h \leq |t|} \psi(t) dt \leq \epsilon.$$

We determine the matrix

$$\hat{U}(i, p) = \begin{cases} U(i, p) & \text{if } |i - p| \leq bh; \\ 0 & \text{if } |i - p| > bh \end{cases}$$

and denote by $\hat{W}$ the corresponding linear operator

$$[\hat{W}F](i, s) = v^2 g_1(s) q_2(s) \sum_{|p| \leq n} F_{12}(i, p) \hat{U}(p, s).$$

Certainly, $\hat{W}$ admits the same estimate as W (4.24). Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $L > 0$ the large number. Let us denote by Q the first natural greater than $(M + k)h$. Then one can write that

$$b \sum_{m=0}^M [W^m(R_1 + R_2).U](i, i) = b \sum_{m=0}^M [\hat{W}^m(R_1 + R_2)\hat{U}](i, i) + \kappa_2(i, i), \quad (4.29)$$

where

$$\sup_{i \in B_{L+Q}} |\kappa_2(i, i)| \leq \epsilon, \quad \text{as } n, b \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.30)$$

The proof of (4.30) uses elementary computations. Indeed, $\kappa_2(i, i)$ is represented as the sum of $M + 1$ terms of the form

$$b \sum_{|s_r| \leq n}^* \nu^{2m} g_1(s_1) q_2(s_1) \dots g_1(s_m) q_2(s_m) [R_1 + R_2](i, s_{m+1})$$

$$\times U(s_{m+1}, s_m) \dots U(s_1, i),$$

where the sum is taken over the values of s_j such that $|s_j - s_{j+1}| > bh$ at least for one of the numbers $j \leq m$.

Now remembering the a priori bounds for R_1 (4.22) and R_2 (4.23), one obtains the following estimate of κ_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|i| \leq n} |\kappa_2(i, i)| &\leq \sum_{m=0}^M \frac{v^{2m}}{\eta^{2m+3}} \sum_{|s_r| \leq n}^* bU(i, s_1) \dots U(s_m, s_{m+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{m=0}^M \frac{v^{2m+2}}{\eta^{2m+5}} \sum_{|s_r| \leq n}^* bU(i, s_1) \dots U(s_m, s_{m+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Assuming that $|s_j - s_{j+1}| > bh$ and using inequality

$$\sum_{|s_i| \leq n} U(i, s_1) \dots U(s_{j-1}, s_j) \leq \sum_{s_i \in \mathbb{Z}} U(i, s_1) \dots U(s_{j-1}, s_j) \quad (4.32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left[\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi(t) dt + \frac{\psi(0)}{b} \right]^j \\ &\leq (1 + 1/b)^j, \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

one sees that for large enough b and n ,

$$\sum_{|s_j| \leq n} U^j(i, s_j) \epsilon U^{m-j}(s_{j+1}, s_{m+1}) \leq \epsilon.$$

Let us also mention here that given $\epsilon > 0$, one has large enough n and b that

$$\sup_{i \in B_{L+Q}} \left| \sum_{|s| \leq n} U^j(i, s) - 1 \right| \leq \epsilon, \quad (4.34)$$

where $j \leq M$. This follows from elementary computations related with the differences

$$P_b = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi\left(\frac{t}{b}\right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(s) ds \quad (4.35)$$

and

$$T_{n,b}(i) \equiv T(i) = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{|t| \leq n} \psi\left(\frac{t-i}{b}\right) - \frac{1}{b} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \psi\left(\frac{t}{b}\right). \quad (4.36)$$

that vanish in the limit $1 \ll b \ll n$ (see previous work [1] for more details).

This reasoning when slightly modified is used to estimate the second term in the RHS of (4.31). Now one can write that

$$\sup_{|i| \leq n} |\kappa_2(i, i)| \leq 2\epsilon \sum_{m=0}^M m \left[\frac{v^2}{\eta^2} \right]^m \leq \epsilon.$$

Regarding the RHS of (4.29) with $i \in B_{L+Q}$, one observes that the summations run over such values of s_r that $|i - s_1| \leq bh$, $|s_r - s_{r+1}| \leq bh$, and thus $s_j \in B_L$ for all $j \leq k + m - 1$. This means that we can apply relations (4.26) and (4.27) to the RHS of (4.29) and to replace g_1 by w_1 , q_2 by w_2 . From (4.28), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} b[F_{12}U](i, i) &= \sum_{m=0}^M [v^2 w_1 w_2]^m b \sum_{|s_{m+1}| \leq n} (R_1(i, s_{m+1}) + R_2(i, s_{m+1})) \hat{U}^{m+1}(s_{m+1}, i) \\ &\quad + \kappa_3(i, i) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\sup_{i \in B_{L+Q}} |\kappa_3(i, i)| \leq 4\epsilon.$$

Finally, applying Lemma 4.3 to the expressions involved in R_l and taking into account that

$$\sup_{i \in B_{L+Q}} |bU^{m+1}(i, i) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\psi}^{m+1}(p) dp| \leq \epsilon, \quad (4.37)$$

we obtain equality

$$\begin{aligned} b[F_{12}U](i, i) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{w_1^2 w_2}{1 - v^2 w_1^2} \sum_{m=0}^M [v^2 w_1 w_2]^m \int_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{\psi}^{m+1}(p) dp \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{w_1^2 w_2}{1 - v^2 w_1^2} \sum_{m=0}^M [v^2 w_1 w_2]^m v^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{w_1 w_2 \tilde{\psi}^{m+1}(p)}{1 - v^2 w_1 w_2 \tilde{\psi}(p)} dp + \kappa_4(i, i) \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

with

$$\sup_{i \in B_{L+Q}} |\kappa_4(i, i)| \leq \epsilon.$$

Passing back in (4.38) to the infinite series and simplifying them, we arrive at the expression standing in the RHS of (4.14). Relation (4.14) is proved.

Now let us prove (4.20). Inequality $U(p, s) \leq b^{-1}$, (3.1) and (3.17) imply that if $z_l \in \Lambda_\eta$, the estimate

$$\max_{r=1,2} \left\{ \sup_{|i|,|s| \leq n} |\beta_r(i, s)| \right\} = O(b^{-1}) \quad (4.39)$$

holds for enough n and b satisfying (2.9). To estimate β_3 , we use the following estimate of the diagonal elements of the resolvent G , proved in the previous work [1].

Lemma 4.5. *(see [1]) If $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, then under conditions of Theorem 2.1, the estimate*

$$\sup_{|s| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{|U_G^0(s; z)|^2\} = O(b^{-2}) \quad (4.40)$$

holds for enough n and b satisfying (2.9).

Then inequality (3.1) and estimate (4.40), imply that

$$\sup_{|i|,|s| \leq n} |\beta_3(i, s)| = O(b^{-1}), \quad z_1, z_2 \in \Lambda_\eta \quad \text{as } n, b \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.41)$$

Using inequality

$$|K_4(H(p, s))| \leq \frac{4|\Delta_{ps}|}{b^2} \leq \frac{4[V_4 + 3v^4]}{b^2} \psi\left(\frac{p-s}{b}\right) \quad (4.42)$$

and relations (3.1) and (2.25), we obtain that

$$|\mathbf{E}\{D_{ps}^3(G^2(i, s)G_2(i, p))\}| = O(1), \quad \text{as } n, b \rightarrow \infty$$

and conclude that

$$\sup_{|i|,|s| \leq n} |\beta_4(i, s)| = O(b^{-1}), \quad z_1, z_2 \in \Lambda_\eta \quad \text{as } n, b \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.43)$$

Regarding the term β_5 and using similar arguments as those to the proof of (3.16) (see (3.22)-(3.23)), we conclude that

$$\sup_{|i|,|s| \leq n} |\beta_5(i, s)| = O(b^{-1}), \quad z_1, z_2 \in \Lambda_\eta \quad \text{as } n, b \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.44)$$

Now (4.20) follows from (4.39), (4.41), (4.43) and (4.44).

To complete the proof of Lemma 4.2, let us prove (4.15). To do this we use the following simple statement, proved in the previous work [1].

Lemma 4.6. (see [1]) If $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, then under conditions of Theorem 2.1, the estimate

$$\sup_{|s| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{|G(s, s; z)^0|^2\} = O(b^{-1}) \quad (4.45)$$

holds for enough n and b satisfying (2.9).

We introduce the variable $M_{12}(i) = q_2(i)\zeta_2^{-1}\Upsilon_{12}(i)$ with Υ_{12} is given by (3.13). Using identity (3.11) and estimate (4.45), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{12}(i) = & q_2(i)g_2(i)\mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, i)\} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \frac{\Delta_{pi}}{b} g_1(p)g_2(p) \\ & + q_2(i)g_1(i)g_2(i) \sum_{|p| \leq n} \frac{\Delta_{pi}}{b} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(p, p)\} g_2(p) + o(1), \quad \text{as } n, b \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

If one considers (4.46) with i taken far enough from the endpoints $-n, n$, then one can use relation (4.26) and (4.27) and replace g_1, g_2 and q_2 by the constant values w_1 and w_2 . This substitution leads to simplified expressions with error terms that vanish as $n, b \rightarrow \infty$. To finish the proof, we use relation (2.1) and Lemma 4.3 and replace the terms $\sum_p \Delta_{pi}/b$ and G_1^2 of M_{12} by the corresponding expressions given by relations (2.14) and (4.16). This proves (4.15). Lemma 4.2 is proved. $\blacksquare$

4.3.2 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Let us return to relation (4.10). We introduce the linear operator $W^{(g_2, q_2)}$ acting on vectors $e \in \mathbb{C}^N$ with components $e(i)$ as follows ;

$$\{W^{(g_2, q_2)}(e)\}(i) = v^2 g_2(i) q_2(i) \sum_{|p| \leq n} e(p) U(p, i). \quad (4.47)$$

As a matter of fact, we can rewrite (4.10) in the following form :

$$[I - W^{(g_2, q_2)}](R_{12})(i) = \frac{1}{Nb} f_{12}(i) + \Gamma(i), \quad (4.48)$$

where f_{12} and Γ are given by (4.11) and (4.12). It is easy to see that if $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, then inequalities (3.1) and (4.4) imply that

$$\|W^{(g_2, q_2)}\|_1 \leq \frac{v^2}{(2v+1)^2} < \frac{1}{2},$$

where $\|W^{(g_2, q_2)}\|_1 = \sup_{|V|_1=1} |W^{(g_2, q_2)}(V)|_1$ and $|V|_1 = \sup_i |V(i)|$. Then (4.48) can be rewritten in the form

$$R_{12}(i) = \frac{1}{Nb} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left([W^{(g_2, q_2)}]^m \vec{f}_{12} \right) (i) + o\left(\frac{1}{Nb}\right).$$

Regarding the trace

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{|i| \leq n} R_{12}(i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in B_L} R_{12}(i) + \frac{2bL}{N} O\left(\frac{1}{Nb}\right) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \in B_L} R_{12}(i) + o\left(\frac{1}{Nb}\right)$$

and repeating the same arguments of the proof of (4.14) presented above, we can write that

$$R_{12}(i) = \frac{1}{Nb} \sum_{m=0}^M \sum_{|t| \leq n} f_{12}(t) (v^2 w_2^2 U)^m(t, i) + \frac{1}{Nb} \Delta^{(2)}(i)$$

with $\sup_{i \in B_L} |\Delta^{(2)}(i)| = o(1)$. Finally, observing that $f_{12}(t)$ asymptotically does not depend on t (see Lemma 4.2), we arrive with the help of (4.34), at the expression (2.12). Theorem 2.1 is proved. $\blacksquare$

5 Proof of auxiliary statement

The main goal of this section is to prove Lemmas 3.1, 4.1 and 4.3.

5.1 Proof of Lemma 3.1

5.1.1 Estimate of the term Y_1 (3.12)

Here we have to use the resolvent identity (2.23) and the cumulants expansion formula (2.15) twice. However, the computations are based on the same inequalities as those of the proofs of Lemma 3.2. Regarding $Y_1(i) = \zeta_2 b^{-2} \sum_p \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i)^2 G_2(p, p)^2\} \Delta_{pi}$, we apply to $G_2(i, i)$ the resolvent identity (2.23). Then we get relation

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1(i) &= \zeta_2^2 b^2 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(p, p)^2\} \Delta_{pi} \\ &\quad - \frac{\zeta_2^2}{b^2} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(i, s) G_2(p, p)^2 H(s, i)\} \Delta_{pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the formula (2.15) with $q = 3$ to $\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(i, s) G_2(p, p)^2 H(s, i)\}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1(i) &= \frac{\zeta_2^2}{b^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}(g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(p, p)^2) \Delta_{pi} \\ &\quad + \frac{\zeta_2^2 v^2}{b^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i)^2 G_2(p, p)^2 U_{G_2}(i)\} \Delta_{pi} + \sum_{r=1}^3 Q_r(i) \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(i) &= \frac{2\zeta_2^2 v^2}{Nb^2} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, s) G_2(i, s) G_2(i, i) G_2(p, p)^2\} U(s, i) \Delta_{pi} \\ &\quad + \frac{3\zeta_2^2 v^2}{b^2} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(p, p)^2 G_2(i, s)^2\} U(s, i) \Delta_{pi} \\ &\quad + \frac{4\zeta_2^2 v^2}{b^2} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(p, p) G_2(i, s) G_2(i, p) G_2(p, s)\} U(s, i) \Delta_{pi}, \\ Q_2(i) &= -\frac{\zeta_2^2}{b^2} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} \frac{K_4}{6} \mathbf{E}\{D_{si}^3(g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(i, s) G_2(p, p)^2)\} \Delta_{pi} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3(i) &= -\frac{\zeta_2^2}{b^2 4!} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{H(s, i)^5 [D_{si}^4(g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(i, s) G_2(p, p)^2)]^{(0)}\} \Delta_{pi} \\ &\quad + \frac{\zeta_2^2}{b^2 3!} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} K_2 \mathbf{E}\{H(s, i)^3 [D_{si}^4(g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(i, s) G_2(p, p)^2)]^{(1)}\} \Delta_{pi} \\ &\quad + \frac{\zeta_2^2}{b^2 3!} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\{H(s, i) [D_{si}^4(g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(i, s) G_2(p, p)^2)]^{(2)}\} \Delta_{pi}, \end{aligned}$$

where K_r , $r = 2, 4$ are the cumulants of $H(s, i)$ as in (3.5). Applying to the second term of the RHS of (5.1) identity (3.11) and using the definition of $q_2(i)$ (4.1), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1(i) &= \frac{\zeta_2 q_2(i)}{b^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(p, p)^2\} \Delta_{pi} \\ &\quad + \frac{\zeta_2 v^2 q_2(i)}{b^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i)^2 G_2(p, p)^2 U_{G_2}^0(i)\} \Delta_{pi} \\ &\quad + \frac{q_2(i)}{\zeta_2} \sum_{r=1}^3 Q_r(i). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

Regarding the first term of the RHS of this equality, we apply the resolvent identity (2.23) to $G_2(i, i)$. Repeating the usual computations based on the formula (2.15) (with $q = 3$) and relation (2.25), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\zeta_2 q_2(i)}{b^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(p, p)^2\} \Delta_{pi} &= \frac{\zeta_2}{b^2} q_2^2(i) \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p, p)^2\} \Delta_{pi} \\ &+ \frac{\zeta_2 v^2}{b^2} q_2^2(i) \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(p, p)^2 U_{G_2}^0(i)\} \Delta_{pi} + \frac{q_2(i)}{\zeta_2} \sum_{r=1}^3 \check{Q}_r(i) \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \check{Q}_1(i) &= \frac{2\zeta_2^2 v^2 q_2(i)}{Nb^2} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(i, s) G_2(i, s) G_2(p, p)^2\} U(s, i) \Delta_{pi} \\ &+ \frac{\zeta_2^2 v^2 q_2(i)}{b^2} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p, p)^2 G_2(i, s)^2\} U(s, i) \Delta_{pi} \\ &+ \frac{4\zeta_2^2 v^2 q_2(i)}{b^2} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p, p) G_2(i, s) G_2(i, p) G_2(p, s)\} U(s, i) \Delta_{pi}, \\ \check{Q}_2(i) &= - \frac{\zeta_2^2 q_2(i)}{b^2} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} \frac{K_4}{6} \mathbf{E}\{D_{si}^3(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(p, p)^2)\} \Delta_{pi} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \check{Q}_3(i) &= - \frac{\zeta_2^2}{b^2 4!} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{H(s, i)^5 [D_{si}^4(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(p, p)^2)]^{(0)}\} \Delta_{pi} \\ &+ \frac{\zeta_2^2}{b^2 3!} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} K_2 \mathbf{E}\{H(s, i)^3 [D_{si}^4(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(p, p)^2)]^{(1)}\} \Delta_{pi} \\ &+ \frac{\zeta_2^2}{b^2 3!} \sum_{|s|, |p| \leq n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\{H(s, i) [D_{si}^4(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(p, p)^2)]^{(2)}\} \Delta_{pi}, \end{aligned}$$

where K_r , $r = 2, 4$ are the cumulants of $H(s, i)$ as in (3.5).

Substituting (5.3) into (5.2), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_1(i) = & \frac{\zeta_2}{b^2} q_2^2(i) \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p, p)^2\} \Delta_{pi} \\
& + \frac{\zeta_2 v^2}{b^2} q_2^2(i) \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(p, p)^2 U_{G_2}^0(i)\} \Delta_{pi} \\
& + \frac{\zeta_2 v^2 q_2(i)}{b^2} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i)^2 G_2(p, p)^2 U_{G_2}^0(i)\} \Delta_{pi} \\
& + \frac{q_2(i)}{\zeta_2} \sum_{r=1}^3 Q_r(i) + \frac{q_2(i)}{\zeta_2} \sum_{r=1}^3 \check{Q}_r(i). \tag{5.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Now let us estimate each term of the RHS of this equality. If one assumes for a while that

$$\sup_{|p| \leq n} |\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p, p)^2\}| = O(N^{-1} b^{-1} + b^{-1} [\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}]^{1/2}) \tag{5.5}$$

holds for enough n and b satisfying (2.9). Then this estimate and relations (4.4), (4.42) and (4.40) imply that the first, the second and the third terms of the RHS of (5.4) are of the order indicated in the RHS of (3.14).

Inequality (3.1), (3.2), (3.17) (with $m = 1$ and $m = 2$) and (4.4) imply that the term $q_2(i) \zeta_2^{-1} [Q_1(i) + \check{Q}_1(i)]$ is of the order indicated in the RHS of (3.14). Using similar arguments as those of the proof of (3.16) (see (3.22)-(3.24)) and the following estimates (cf. (3.20)-(3.21))

$$D_{si}^r(g_1^0 G_2(i, i) G_2(i, s) G_2(p, p)^2) = O(N^{-1} + |g_1^0|), \quad r = 3, 4$$

and

$$\mathbf{Var}\{[g_{n,b}(z_l)]^{(\nu)}\} = O(\mathbf{Var}\{g_{n,b}(z_l)\} + b^{-1} N^{-2}), \quad \nu = 0, 1, 2,$$

we obtain that the terms Q_r , $r = 2, 3$ are of the order indicated in the RHS of (3.14). We conclude that the terms $\check{Q}_r$, $r = 2, 3$ and $\sup_i |Y_1(i)|$ are of the order indicated in the RHS of (3.14).

Now let us prove (5.5). Let us apply the resolvent identity (2.23) to $G_2(p, p)$. Repeating the usual computations based on the formula (2.15) (with

$q = 3$) and relation (2.25), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p, p)^2\} &= q_2(p) \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p, p)\} + q_2(p) \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p, p)^2 U_{G_2}^0(p)\} \\
&\quad + 3q_2(p) v^2 \sum_{|s| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p, s)^2 G_2(p, p)\} U(s, p) \\
&\quad + \frac{2v^2}{N} q_2(p) \sum_{|s| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(s, p) G_2(s, p) G_2(p, p)\} U(s, p) \\
&\quad - \frac{q_2(p)}{6} \sum_{|s| \leq n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\{D_{sp}^3(g_1^0 G_2(p, p) G_2(p, s))\} - q_2(p) \tilde{Q}(i)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Q}(i) &= -\frac{1}{4!} \sum_{|s| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{H(s, p)^5 [D_{sp}^4(g_1^0 G_2(p, p) G_2(p, s))]^{(0)}\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{|s| \leq n} K_2 \mathbf{E}\{H(s, p)^3 [D_{sp}^4(g_1^0 G_2(p, p) G_2(p, s))]^{(1)}\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{|s| \leq n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\{H(s, p) [D_{sp}^4(g_1^0 G_2(p, p) G_2(p, s))]^{(2)}\},
\end{aligned}$$

where K_r , $r = 2, 4$ are the cumulants of $H(s, p)$ as in (3.5).

Let us estimate each term of the RHS of (5.6). It is easy to show that the estimate of the first term of the RHS of (5.6) follows from the following statement, proved in the previous work [1].

Lemma 5.1. (see [1]) *If $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, then under conditions of Theorem 2.1, the estimate*

$$\sup_{|p| \leq n} |\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(p, p)\}| = O(b^{-1} n^{-1} + b^{-1} [\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}]^{1/2}) \tag{5.7}$$

holds in the limit $n, b \rightarrow \infty$ (2.9).

Then (5.5) follows from this Lemma and the estimate (4.40) and the similar arguments used in the estimates of the terms Q_r , $r = 1, 2, 3$ in (5.4). Estimate (5.5) is proved.

5.1.2 Estimate of Y_2 (3.12)

We rewrite Y_2 in the form $Y_2(i) = \zeta_2 v^2 \sum_s \mathbf{E}\{M(i, s)\} U(s, i)$, where we denoted

$$\mathbf{E}\{M(i, s)\} = \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, s)^2\}.$$

To proceed with estimate of Y_2 , we use the resolvent identity (2.23) and the cumulants expansion formula (2.15) twice. However, the computations are based on the results of Lemma 4.5, 4.6 and 5.1. Therefore we just indicate the main lines of the proof and do not go into the details. Applying to $G_2(i, s)$ the resolvent identity (2.23), we get equality

$$\mathbf{E}M(i, s) = \zeta_2 \delta_{is} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i)\} - \zeta_2 \sum_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t) H(t, s)\}. \quad (5.8)$$

Regarding the first term of the RHS of this equality and using relation (5.7), it is easy to see that the term

$$\sum_{|s| \leq n} \zeta_2 \delta_{is} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i)\} U(s, i) = \zeta_2 \frac{\psi(0)}{b} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, i)\}$$

is the value of order indicated in (3.14). Let us consider the second term of (5.8). Applying formula (2.15) with $q = 5$ to $\mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t) H(t, s)\}$ and taking account relations (2.25) and (3.11), we obtain that

$$- \zeta_2 \sum_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t) H(t, s)\} = \sum_{l=1}^7 \Theta_l(i, s), \quad (5.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_1(i, s) &= v^2 \zeta_2 \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, s)^2\} \mathbf{E}U_{G_2}(s), \\ \Theta_2(i, s) &= v^2 \zeta_2 \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, s)^2 U_{G_2}^0(s)\}, \\ \Theta_3(i, s) &= \frac{2v^2 \zeta_2}{N} \sum_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(s, t) U(t, s) G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t)\}, \\ \Theta_4(i, s) &= v^2 \zeta_2 \sum_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, t)^2 G_2(s, s)\} U(t, s), \\ \Theta_5(i, s) &= 2v^2 \zeta_2 \sum_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(t, s) G_2(i, t)\} U(t, s), \\ \Theta_6(i, s) &= - \zeta_2 \sum_{|t| \leq n} \frac{K_4(H(t, s))}{6} \mathbf{E}\{D_{ts}^3(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t))\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Theta_7(i, s) = - \zeta_2 \sum_{|t| \leq n} \frac{K_6(H(t, s))}{5!} \mathbf{E}\{D_{ts}^5(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t))\} + \tilde{\Theta}_7(i, s)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\Theta}_7(i, s) = & -\frac{\zeta_2}{6!} \sum_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ H(t, s)^7 [D_{ts}^6(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t))]^{(0)} \} \\
& + \frac{\zeta_2}{5!} \sum_{|t| \leq n} K_2(H(t, s)) \mathbf{E} \{ H(t, s)^5 [D_{ts}^6(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t))]^{(1)} \} \\
& + \frac{\zeta_2}{(3!)^2} \sum_{|t| \leq n} K_4(H(t, s)) \mathbf{E} \{ H(t, s)^3 [D_{ts}^6(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t))]^{(2)} \} \\
& + \frac{\zeta_2}{5!} \sum_{|t| \leq n} K_6(H(t, s)) \mathbf{E} \{ H(t, s) [D_{ts}^6(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t))]^{(3)} \},
\end{aligned}$$

where $K_r(H(t, s))$, $r = 2, 4, 6$ are the cumulants of $H(t, s)$ as in (3.5)-(3.6).

The term Θ_1 is of the form $v^2 \zeta_2 \mathbf{E}\{M(i, s)\} \mathbf{E} U_{G_2}(s)$ and can be put to the left hand side of (5.8). The terms Θ_2 and Θ_3 are of the order indicated in the RHS of (3.14). This can be shown with the help of the estimate (4.40) and inequality (eg. [1])

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{|s|, |t| \leq n} G_1^2(s, t) G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t) \right| \\
& \leq \|G_1^2\| \left(\sum_{|s| \leq n} |G_2(i, s)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{|t| \leq n} |G_2(i, t)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{\eta^4}. \quad (5.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Regarding Θ_4 , we apply the resolvent identity (2.23) to the factor $G_2(s, s)$. Repeating the usual computations based on the formula (2.15) with $q = 5$ and taking into account relations (2.25) and (3.11), we obtain that

$$\Theta_4(i, s) = v^2 \zeta_2^2 \sum_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{M(i, t)\} U(t, s) + v^2 \zeta_2 \Theta_4(i, s) \mathbf{E} U_{G_2}(s) + \sum_{l=1}^8 \Omega_l(i, s), \quad (5.11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_1(i, s) &= v^4 \zeta_2^2 \sum_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ g_1^0 G_2(i, t)^2 G_2(s, s) U_{G_2}^0(s) \} U(t, s), \\
\Omega_2(i, s) &= v^4 \zeta_2^2 \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ g_1^0 G_2(i, t)^2 G_2(s, p)^2 \} U(p, s) U(t, s), \\
\Omega_3(i, s) &= \frac{2v^4 \zeta_2^2}{N} \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ G_1^2(p, s) G_2(i, t)^2 G_2(s, p) \} U(p, s) U(t, s), \\
\Omega_4(i, s) &= 2v^4 \zeta_2^2 \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ g_1^0 G_2(i, t) G_2(i, s) G_2(p, t) G_2(s, p) \} U(p, s) U(t, s), \\
\Omega_5(i, s) &= 2v^4 \zeta_2^2 \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ g_1^0 G_2(i, t) G_2(i, p) G_2(s, t) G_2(s, p) \} U(p, s) U(t, s), \\
\Omega_6(i, s) &= -\zeta_2^2 v^2 \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \frac{K_4(H(p, s))}{6} \mathbf{E} \{ D_{ps}^3 (g_1^0 G_2(i, t)^2 G_2(s, p)) \} U(t, s), \\
\Omega_7(i, s) &= -\zeta_2^2 v^2 \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \frac{K_6(H(p, s))}{5!} \mathbf{E} \{ D_{ps}^5 (g_1^0 G_2(i, t)^2 G_2(s, p)) \} U(t, s)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_8(i, s) &= -\frac{\zeta_2^2 v^2}{6!} \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, s)^7 [D_{ps}^6 (g_1^0 G_2(i, t)^2 G_2(s, p))]^{(0)} \} U(t, s) \\
&+ \frac{\zeta_2^2 v^2}{5!} \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} K_2(H(p, s)) \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, s)^5 [D_{ts}^6 (g_1^0 G_2(i, t)^2 G_2(s, p))]^{(1)} \} U(t, s) \\
&+ \frac{\zeta_2^2 v^2}{(3!)^2} \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} K_4(H(p, s)) \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, s)^3 [D_{ts}^6 (g_1^0 G_2(i, t)^2 G_2(s, p))]^{(2)} \} U(t, s) \\
&+ \frac{\zeta_2^2 v^2}{5!} \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} K_6(H(p, s)) \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, s) [D_{ts}^6 (g_1^0 G_2(i, t)^2 G_2(s, p))]^{(3)} \} U(t, s)
\end{aligned}$$

with $K_r(H(p, s))$, $r = 2, 4, 6$ are the cumulants of $H(p, s)$ as in (3.5)-(3.6).

The terms Ω_l , $l = 1, \dots, 5$ are of the order indicated in the RHS of (3.14). This can be shown with the help of the estimate (4.40) and the inequalities (3.1), (3.2), (3.17) and (5.10). The term Ω_6 contains 272 terms that are of the order indicated in the RHS of (3.14). This can be checked by direct computations with the use of (3.17) and (5.10). Using similar argument as those of the proofs of (3.15) and (3.16) (see (3.22)-(3.24)), and the following

estimate (cf. (3.18))

$$\mathbf{E}|D_{pi}^5\{g_1^0 G_2(i, t)^2 G_2(s, p)\}| = O(N^{-1} + [\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}]^{1/2}), \quad \text{as } n, p \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.12)$$

we conclude that the terms Ω_7 and Ω_8 are of the order indicated in the RHS of (3.14). Then, the relation (5.11) is of the form that leads to the estimates needed for $\sum_s \mathbf{E}\{M(i, s)\}U(s, i)$.

Regarding $\Theta_5(i, s)$, we apply the resolvent identity (2.23) to the factor $G_2(t, s)$. Repeating the usual computations based on the formula (2.15) with $q = 5$ and taking into account relations (2.25) and (3.11), we obtain that

$$\Theta_5(i, s) = 2v^2 \zeta_2^2 \frac{\psi(0)}{b} \mathbf{E}M(i, s) + v^2 \zeta_2 \Theta_5(i, s) \mathbf{E}U_{G_2}(s) + \sum_{l=1}^9 \Omega'_l(i, s), \quad (5.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega'_1(i, s) &= 2v^4 \zeta_2^2 \sum_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t) G_2(t, s) U_{G_2}^0(s)\} U(t, s), \\ \Omega'_2(i, s) &= 2v^4 \zeta_2^2 \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, p) G_2(s, s) G_2(i, t) G_2(t, p)\} U(p, s) U(t, s), \\ \Omega'_3(i, s) &= \frac{4v^4 \zeta_2^2}{N} \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(p, s) G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t) G_2(t, p)\} U(p, s) U(t, s), \\ \Omega'_4(i, s) &= 4v^4 \zeta_2^2 \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(p, s) G_2(i, t) G_2(t, p)\} U(p, s) U(t, s), \\ \Omega'_5(i, s) &= 2v^4 \zeta_2^2 \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, p) G_2(t, p) G_2(s, t)\} U(p, s) U(t, s), \\ \Omega'_6(i, s) &= 2v^4 \zeta_2^2 \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(i, s)^2 G_2(p, t)^2\} U(p, s) U(t, s), \\ \Omega'_7(i, s) &= -2\zeta_2^2 v^2 \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \frac{K_4(H(p, s))}{6} \mathbf{E}\{D_{ps}^3(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t) G_2(t, p))\} U(t, s), \\ \Omega'_8(i, s) &= -2\zeta_2^2 v^2 \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \frac{K_6(H(p, s))}{5!} \mathbf{E}\{D_{ps}^5(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t) G_2(t, p))\} U(t, s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Omega'_9(i, s) \\
&= -\frac{2\zeta_2^2 v^2}{6!} \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, s)^7 [D_{ps}^6(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t) G_2(t, p))]^{(0)} \} U(t, s) \\
&+ \frac{2\zeta_2^2 v^2}{5!} \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} K_2(H(p, s)) \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, s)^5 [D_{ts}^6(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t) G_2(t, p))]^{(1)} \} U(t, s) \\
&+ \frac{2\zeta_2^2 v^2}{(3!)^2} \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} K_4(H(p, s)) \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, s)^3 [D_{ts}^6(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t) G_2(t, p))]^{(2)} \} U(t, s) \\
&+ \frac{2\zeta_2^2 v^2}{5!} \sum_{|t|, |p| \leq n} K_6(H(p, s)) \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, s) [D_{ts}^6(g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t) G_2(t, p))]^{(3)} \} U(t, s)
\end{aligned}$$

with $K_r(H(p, s))$, $r = 2, 4, 6$ are the cumulants of $H(p, s)$ as in (3.5)-(3.6).

The terms $\sum_s \Omega'_l(i, s) U(s, i)$, $l = 1, \dots, 6$ are of the order indicated in the RHS of (3.14). This can be shown with the help of the estimate (4.40) and the inequalities (3.1), (3.2), (3.17) and (5.10). The term Ω'_7 contains 356 terms that are of the order indicated in the RHS of (3.14). This can be checked by direct computations with the use of (3.17) and (5.10). Using similar argument as those of the proofs of (3.15) and (3.16) (see (3.22)-(3.24)), and the following estimate (cf. (3.18))

$$\mathbf{E} |D_{pi}^5 \{g_1^0 G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t) G_2(t, p)\}| = O(N^{-1} + [\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}]^{1/2}), \quad \text{as } n, p \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.14)$$

we conclude that the terms Ω'_8 and Ω'_9 are of the order indicated in the RHS of (3.14). Then, the form of (5.13) is also such that, being substituted into (5.9) and then into (5.8), it leads to the needed estimates.

The term $\Theta_6(i, s)$ contains 67 terms. These terms can be gathered into three groups. In each group, the terms are estimated by the same values with the help of the same computations.

We give estimates for the typical cases. Using (3.1), (3.2) and (3.17) (with $m = 1$), we get for the terms of the first group :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{\zeta_2}{N} \sum_{|t| \leq n} K_4(H(t, s)) \mathbf{E} \{ G_1^2(t, t) G_1(s, s) G_1(t, s) G_2(i, s) G_2(i, t) \} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta_{ts})^3} \right| \\
& \leq \frac{V_4 + 3v^4}{\eta^5 N b^2} \sum_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E} |G_1(t, s) G_2(i, t)| \leq \frac{V_4 + 3v^4}{\eta^7 N b^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the terms of the second group, we obtain estimates

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \zeta_2 \sum_{|t| \leq n} K_4(H(t, s)) \mathbf{E}\{g_1^0 G_2(s, s)^2 G_2(i, t)^2\} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta_{ts})^3} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{V_4 + 3v^4}{\eta^3 b^2} \mathbf{E}|g_1^0| \sum_{|t| \leq n} |G_2(i, t)^2| \leq \frac{[V_4 + 3v^4] \sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}}}{\eta^5 b^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for the terms of the third group, we get inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{|s| \leq n} \frac{\zeta_2}{N} \sum_{|t| \leq n} K_4(H(t, s)) \mathbf{E}\{G_1^2(s, s) G_1(t, t) G_2(t, t) G_2(i, s)^2\} U(s, i) \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \delta_{ts})^3} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{V_4 + 3v^4}{\eta^5 N b} \sum_{|s| \leq n} \mathbf{E}|G_2(i, s)^2| \sum_{|t| \leq n} U(t, s) U(s, i) = O\left(\frac{1}{N b^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Gathering all the estimates of 67 terms, we obtain that

$$\left| \sum_{|s| \leq n} \Theta_6(i, s) U(s, i) \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{N b^2} + \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{Var}\{g_1\}}}{b^2}\right).$$

Using similar argument as those of the proofs of (3.15) and (3.16) (see (3.22)-(3.24)), we conclude that Θ_7 and $\sup_i |Y_2(i)|$ are of the order indicated in (3.14). Estimate (3.14) is proved and so Lemma 3.1 is proved. $\blacksquare$

5.2 Proof of Lemma 4.1

Let us consider the variable

$$K(i, s) = \mathbf{E}\{R G^0(i, i)\} = \mathbf{E}\{R^0 G(i, i)\},$$

where we denoted $R = g^0 U_G^0(s)$. Applying to $G_2(i, i)$ the resolvent identity (2.23) and taking account formula (2.15) with $q = 3$ and relation (2.25), we obtain that

$$\mathbf{E}\{R^0 G(i, i)\} = \zeta v^2 \mathbf{E}\{R^0 G(i, i) U_G(i)\} + \sum_{a=1}^5 l_a(i, s) \quad (5.15)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
l_1(i, s) &= \zeta v^2 \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{R^0 G(i, p)^2\} U(p, i), \\
l_2(i, s) &= 2\zeta v^2 \sum_{|p|, |t| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{g^0 G(t, p) G(t, i) G(i, p)\} U(t, s) U(p, i), \\
l_3(i, s) &= \frac{2\zeta v^2}{N} \sum_{|p|, |t| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G(p, t) G(i, t) U_G^0(s) G(i, p)\} U(p, i), \\
l_4(i, s) &= -\frac{\zeta}{6} \sum_{|p| \leq n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\{D_{pi}^3(R^0 G(i, p))\}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
l_5(i, s) &= -\frac{\zeta}{4!} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{H(p, i)^5 [D_{pi}^4(R^0 G(i, p))]^{(0)}\} \\
&\quad + \frac{\zeta}{3!} \sum_{|p| \leq n} K_2 \mathbf{E}\{H(p, i)^3 [D_{pi}^4(R^0 G(i, p))]^{(1)}\} \\
&\quad + \frac{\zeta}{3!} \sum_{|p| \leq n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\{H(p, i) [D_{pi}^4(R^0 G(i, p))]^{(2)}\},
\end{aligned}$$

where K_r , $r = 2, 4$ are the cumulants of $H(p, i)$ as in (3.5). Let us use the identity

$$\mathbf{E} R^0 X Y = \mathbf{E} R X^0 \mathbf{E} Y + \mathbf{E} R Y^0 \mathbf{E} X + \mathbf{E} R X^0 Y^0 - \mathbf{E} R \mathbf{E} X^0 Y^0,$$

and rewrite (5.15) in the form

$$\mathbf{E}\{R^0 G(i, i)\} = K(i, s) = v^2 q(i) g(i) \sum_{|t| \leq n} K(t, s) U(t, i) + \Pi(i, s) \quad (5.16)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(i, s) &= v^2 q(i) [\mathbf{E}\{R U_G^0(i) G^0(i, i)\} - \mathbf{E}\{g^0 U_G^0(s)\} \mathbf{E}\{G^0(i, i) U_G^0(i)\}] \\
&\quad + \frac{q(i)}{\zeta} \sum_{a=1}^5 l_a(i, s),
\end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

where $g(i) = \mathbf{E}\{G(i, i)\}$ and q is given by (4.1). Now we rewrite (5.16) in the form of a vector equality

$$\vec{K}(\cdot, s) = [I - W^{(q, g)}]^{-1} \vec{\Pi}(\cdot, s),$$

where we denote by $W^{(q,g)}$ the linear operator acting on a vector e with components $e(i)$ as

$$[W^{(q,g)}e](i) = v^2 q(i)g(i) \sum_{|t| \leq n} e(t)U(t, i)$$

and vectors $[\vec{\Pi}(\cdot, s)](i) = \Pi(i, s)$. It is easy to see that if $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, then $\|W^{(q,g)}\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, to prove relation (4.6), it is sufficient to show that

$$\sup_{|i|, |s| \leq n} |\Pi(i, s)| = O\left(\frac{1}{Nb^2} + \frac{1}{b^2} (\mathbf{Var}\{g\})^{1/2}\right). \quad (5.18)$$

Let us prove (5.18). Taking into account inequality (3.1), (3.2), (5.10) and estimate (4.40), we obtain that

$$|l_a(i, s)| \leq \frac{c}{b^2} (\mathbf{Var}\{g\})^{1/2} \quad a = 1, 2 \quad (5.19)$$

and

$$|l_3(i, s)| \leq \frac{c}{Nb^2}, \quad (5.20)$$

where c is a constant. Using similar arguments as those of the proof of (3.16) (see (3.22)-(3.24)) and the following estimates (cf. (3.20)-(3.21))

$$D_{pi}^r(R^0 G(i, p)) = O(N^{-1} + |g_1^0|), \quad r = 3, 4$$

and

$$\mathbf{Var}\{[g_{n,b}(z)]^{(\nu)}\} = O(\mathbf{Var}\{g_{n,b}(z)\} + b^{-1}N^{-2}), \quad \nu = 0, 1, 2,$$

we obtain that the terms l_a , $a = 4, 5$ are of the order indicated in the RHS of (4.6). Finally, we derive inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\Pi(i, s)| &\leq c (\mathbf{Var}\{g\})^{1/2} \left((\mathbf{E}|U_G^0(i)|^4)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{b^2} (\mathbf{E}|U_G^0(i)|^2)^{1/2} \right) \\ &\quad + c \left(\frac{1}{Nb^2} + \frac{1}{b^2} (\mathbf{Var}\{g\})^{1/2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

where c is a constant. Then (5.18), (5.21) and Lemma 4.1 follow from (4.40) and the following estimate.

Lemma 5.2. *If $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, then under conditions of Theorem 2.1, the estimate*

$$\sup_{|s| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{|U_G^0(s; z)|^4\} = O(b^{-4}) \quad (5.22)$$

holds in the limit $n, b \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Lemma 5.2. Let us consider variable

$$\mathbf{E}\{U_{G_1}^0(x_1)U_{G_2}^0(x_2)U_{G_3}^0(x_3)U_{G_4}^0(x_4)\} = \mathbf{E}[U_{G_1}^0(x_1)U_{G_2}^0(x_2)U_{G_3}^0(x_3)]^0 U_{G_4}(x_4).$$

Set $T = U_{G_1}^0 U_{G_2}^0 U_{G_3}^0$ and $M(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) = \mathbf{E}T^0 G_4(t, t)$. We apply to $G_4(t, t)$ the resolvent identity (3.2) and obtain

$$\mathbf{E}T^0 G_4(t, t) = -\zeta_4 \sum_{|s| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{T^0 G_4(t, s)H(s, t)\}.$$

Applying (2.15) to $\mathbf{E}\{T^0 G_4(t, s)H(s, t)\}$ with $q = 3$ and taking into account (2.25), we get relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}T^0 G_4(t, t) \\ &= \zeta_4 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{T^0 G_4(t, t)U_{G_4}(t)\} + \zeta_4 v^2 \mathbf{E}\left\{T^0 \sum_{|s| \leq n} G_4(t, s)^2 U(s, t)\right\} \\ &+ 2\zeta_4 v^2 \sum_{(i,j,k)} \mathbf{E}\left\{U_{G_i}^0(x_i)U_{G_j}^0(x_j) \sum_{|y|, |s| \leq n} G_k(y, s)G_k(t, y)G_4(t, s)U(y, x_k)U(s, t)\right\} \\ &+ \zeta_4 \Gamma_1(t) + \zeta_4 \Gamma_2(t) \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

with

$$\Gamma_1(t) = - \sum_{|s| \leq n} \frac{K_4}{3!} \mathbf{E}\{D_{st}^3(T^0 G_4(t, s))\} \quad (5.24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2(t) &= - \frac{1}{4!} \sum_{|s| \leq n} \mathbf{E}\{H(s, t)^5 [D_{st}^4(T^0 G_4(t, s))]^{(0)}\} \\ &+ \sum_{|s| \leq n} \frac{K_2}{3!} \mathbf{E}\{H(s, t)^3 [D_{st}^4(T^0 G_4(t, s))]^{(1)}\} \\ &+ \sum_{|s| \leq n} \frac{K_4}{3!} \mathbf{E}\{H(s, t) [D_{st}^4(T^0 G_4(t, s))]^{(2)}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

where K_r , $r = 2, 4$ are the cumulants of $H(s, t)$ as in (3.5). In (5.23), we introduce the notation

$$\sum_{(i,j,k)} \xi(x_i, x_j, x_k) = \xi(x_1, x_2, x_3) + \xi(x_1, x_3, x_2) + \xi(x_2, x_3, x_1).$$

Applying to the first term of the RHS of (5.23) relation (3.11) and using $q_4(t)$ (4.1), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E} T^0 G_4(t, t) \\
&= q_4(t) v^2 \mathbf{E} \{ T^0 G_4(t, t) U_{G_4}^0(t) \} + q_4(t) v^2 \mathbf{E} \left\{ T^0 \sum_{|s| \leq n} G_4(t, s)^2 U(s, t) \right\} \\
&+ 2q_4(t) v^2 \sum_{(i,j,k)} \mathbf{E} \left\{ U_{G_i}^0(x_i) U_{G_j}^0(x_j) \sum_{|y|, |s| \leq n} G_k(y, s) G_k(t, y) G_4(t, s) U(y, x_k) U(s, t) \right\} \\
&+ q_4(t) (\Gamma_1(t) + \Gamma_2(t)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now gathering relation given by (2.1), (3.1), (3.2), (5.10), (4.4) and

$$\sup_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E} |T^0 U_{G_4}^0(t)| \leq \mathbf{E} |T| \sup_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E} |U_{G_4}^0(t)| + \sup_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E} |T U_{G_4}^0(t)|$$

imply the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{|t| \leq n} M(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) U(t, x_4) \right| &\leq \frac{v^2}{\eta^2} \sup_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E} |T U_{G_4}^0(t)| + \frac{v^2}{\eta^2} \mathbf{E} |T| \sup_{|t| \leq n} \mathbf{E} |U_{G_4}^0(t)| \\
&+ \frac{2v^2}{\eta^3 b} \mathbf{E} |T| + \frac{6v^2}{\eta^4 b^2} \mathbf{E} |U_{G_i}^0(x_i) U_{G_j}^0(x_j)| \\
&+ \frac{1}{\eta} \sup_{|t| \leq n} |\Gamma_1(t) + \Gamma_2(t)|. \tag{5.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Henceforth, for sake of clarity, we consider $G = G_1 = G_3 = \bar{G}_2 = \bar{G}_4$ and $x = x_r$, $r = 1, \dots, 4$, then we get $T = (U_G^0(x))^2 U_{\bar{G}}^0(x)$ and

$$\mathbf{E} |T| \leq (\mathbf{E} |U_G^0|^4)^{1/2} (\mathbf{E} |U_{\bar{G}}^0|^2)^{1/2}. \tag{5.27}$$

Let us assume for the moment that

$$\sup_{|t| \leq n} |\Gamma_1(t) + \Gamma_2(t)| = O \left(b^{-4} + b^{-2} \sqrt{W} \right), \quad z \in \Lambda \eta \tag{5.28}$$

with $W = \sup_x \mathbf{E} |U_G^0(x)|^4$. Now returning to (5.26) and gathering estimates given by relations (4.40), (5.27) and (5.28) imply the following estimate

$$W \leq A_1 b^{-2} \sqrt{W} + A_2 b^{-4},$$

where A_1, A_2 are some constants. This proves (5.22).

To complete the proof of Lemma 5.2, let us prove (5.28). To do this, we use the following statement.

Lemma 5.3. *If $z \in \Lambda_\eta$, then under conditions of Theorem 2.1, the estimates*

$$D_{st}^r (U_G^0(x)) = O(b^{-1}), \quad r = 1, \dots, 4, \quad (5.29)$$

$$D_{st}^r (T^0 \bar{G}(t, s)) = O(b^{-3} + b^{-2}|U_G^0(x)| + b^{-1}|U_G^0(x)|^2 + |U_G^0(x)|^3), \quad r = 3, 4 \quad (5.30)$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}[|U_G^0(x)|^{(\nu)}]^{2r} = O(b^{-3r} + \mathbf{E}|U_G^0(x)|^{2r}), \quad r = 1, 2 \quad (5.31)$$

hold for all $\nu = 0, 1, 2$, all $|x| \leq n$ and large enough n and b satisfying (2.9).

We prove this Lemma at the end of this subsection.

Let us return to the proof of (5.28). Regarding the variable Γ_1 (5.24) and using (4.40), (4.42) and (5.30), one gets with the help of (5.27) that

$$\sum_{|s| \leq n} \frac{2[V_4 + 3v^4]}{3b} \mathbf{E}|D_{st}^3(T^0 \bar{G}(t, s))|U(s, t) = O(b^{-4} + b^{-2}\sqrt{W}). \quad (5.32)$$

Now let us estimate Γ_2 (5.25). Regarding the first term of the RHS of (5.25) and using (4.40), (5.30) and (5.31), we obtain inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|s| \leq n} \mathbf{E}|H(s, t)^5 [D_{st}^4(T^0 G_4(t, s))]^{(0)}| \\ & \leq c \sum_{|s| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \left\{ \frac{|H(s, t)|^5}{b^3} + \frac{|H(s, t)|^5}{b^2} |[U_G^0(x)]^{(0)}| + \frac{|H(s, t)|^5}{b} |[U_G^0(x)]^{(0)}|^2 \right\} \\ & + c \sum_{|s| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ |H(s, t)|^5 |[U_G^0(x)]^{(0)}|^3 \} \\ & \leq c \sum_{|s| \leq n} \left[\frac{\mu_5}{b^{11/2}} \psi\left(\frac{s-t}{b}\right) + \frac{\mu_{10}^{1/2}}{b^{9/2}} (\mathbf{E}|[U_G^0(x)]^{(0)}|^2)^{1/2} \psi\left(\frac{s-t}{b}\right)^{1/2} \right] \\ & + c \sum_{|s| \leq n} \left[\frac{\mu_{10}^{1/2}}{b^{7/2}} (\mathbf{E}|[U_G^0(x)]^{(0)}|^4)^{1/2} \psi\left(\frac{s-t}{b}\right)^{1/2} \right] \\ & = O\left(\frac{1}{b^{9/2}} + \frac{1}{b^{5/2}}\sqrt{W}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

Repeating the arguments used to prove (5.33), it is easy to show that the term

$$\sum_{|s| \leq n} \frac{K_2}{3!} \mathbf{E} \{ H(s, t)^3 [D_{st}^4(T^0 G_4(t, s))]^{(1)} \} + \sum_{|s| \leq n} \frac{K_4}{3!} \mathbf{E} \{ H(s, t) [D_{st}^4(T^0 G_4(t, s))]^{(2)} \}$$

is of the order indicated in the RHS of (5.28) and that

$$\sup_{|t| \leq n} |\Gamma_2(t)| = O\left(b^{-4} + b^{-2}\sqrt{W}\right). \quad (5.34)$$

Then the estimate (5.28) follows from (5.32) and (5.34). Lemma 5.2 is proved.

Proof of Lemma 5.3. We prove Lemma 5.2 with $r = 1$ because the general case does not differ from this one. We start with the proof of (5.29). Using (2.25), we obtain that

$$D_{st}^1(U_G^0(x)) = -2 \sum_{|k| \leq n} G(k, s)G(k, t)U(k, x).$$

Then estimate (5.29) (with $r = 1$) follows from this relation and inequality $|U(k, x)| \leq b^{-1}$ and (3.17) (with $m = 1$). The general case does not differ from this one, so the estimate (5.29) is proved.

Let us prove (5.30). Remembering that $T = [U_G^0(x)]^2 U_G^0(x)$ and using (2.25) and (5.29), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{st}^1\{T^0\} &= O(b^{-1}|U_G^0(x)|^2), \\ D_{st}^2\{T^0\} &= O(b^{-2}|U_G^0(x)| + b^{-1}|U_G^0(x)|^2), \\ D_{st}^3\{T^0\} &= O(b^{-3} + b^{-2}|U_G^0(x)| + b^{-1}|U_G^0(x)|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now it is easy to show that (5.30) is true.

Finally, we prove (5.31) with $r = 1$ because the general case does not differ from this one. To simplify computation, we use the notation : for each pair (s, t) and $\nu = 0, 1, 2$, let $H_{st}^{(\nu)} = H^{(\nu)} = \hat{H}$ be the matrix defined by

$$\hat{H}(r, i) = \begin{cases} H(r, i), & \text{if } (r, i) \neq (s, t); \\ \hat{H}(s, t), & \text{if } (r, i) = (s, t) \end{cases}$$

with $|\hat{H}(s, t)| \leq |H(s, t)|$ and its resolvent by $G_{sp}^{(\nu)}(z) = \hat{G}(z)$. Then the resolvent identity (2.23) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\hat{G}}(x) &= U_G(x) - \frac{1}{b} \sum_{|k|, |r|, |i| \leq n} \hat{G}(k, r) \{\hat{H} - H\}(r, i) G(i, k) \psi\left(\frac{x - k}{b}\right) \\ &= U_G(x) - \frac{1}{b} \sum_{|k| \leq n} B(k, s, t) \psi\left(\frac{x - k}{b}\right) \end{aligned}$$

with $B(k, s, t) = \hat{G}(k, s)[\hat{H}(s, t) - H(s, t)]G(t, k)$. Then inequality (3.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}|U_{\hat{G}}^0(x)|^2 \\
& \leq 2\mathbf{E}|U_G^0(x)|^2 + \frac{2}{b^2}\mathbf{E}\left|\sum_{|k|\leq n} B^0(k, s, t)\psi\left(\frac{x-k}{b}\right)\right|^2 \\
& \leq 2\mathbf{E}|U_G^0(x)|^2 + \frac{8}{\eta^4 b^2}\mathbf{E}\left(|\hat{H}(s, t)| + \mathbf{E}|H(s, t)|\right)^2 \\
& \leq 2\mathbf{E}|U_G^0(x)|^2 + \frac{8}{\eta^4 b^3}\left[\mathbf{E}|a(s, p)|^2\psi\left(\frac{s-p}{b}\right) + 3(\mathbf{E}|a(s, p)|)^2\psi\left(\frac{s-p}{b}\right)^2\right].
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (5.31). Lemma 5.3 is proved. $\blacksquare$

5.3 Proof of Lemma 4.3.

We prove relation (4.17) with $k = 1$ because the general case does not differ from this one. To derive relations for the average value of the variable $t_{12}(i, s) = \mathbf{E}G_1(i, s)G_2(i, s)$, we use identity (2.23) and relation (2.15) (with $q = 3$) and repeat the proof of relation (4.14). Simple computations lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{12}(i, s) &= \zeta_2 g_1(i) \delta_{is} + \zeta_2 v^2 t_{12}(i, s) U_{g_2}(s) \\
&+ \zeta_2 v^2 \sum_{|p|\leq n} t_{12}(i, p) g_1(s) U(p, s) + \sum_{j=1}^6 \gamma_j(i, s), \tag{5.35}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1(i, s) &= \zeta_2 v^2 \sum_{|p|\leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i, s)G_2(i, p)G_1(p, s)\}U(p, s), \\
\gamma_2(i, s) &= \zeta_2 v^2 \sum_{|p|\leq n} \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i, s)G_2(i, p)G_2(p, s)\}U(p, s) \\
\gamma_3(i, s) &= \zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\{G_1(i, s)G_2(i, s)U_{G_1}^0(s)\}, \\
\gamma_4(i, s) &= \zeta_2 v^2 \mathbf{E}\left\{G_1^0(s, s) \sum_{|p|\leq n} G_1(i, p)G_2(i, p)U(p, s)\right\}, \\
\gamma_5(i, s) &= -\frac{\zeta_2}{6} \sum_{|p|\leq n} K_4 \mathbf{E}\{D_{ps}^3(G_1(i, s)G_2(i, p))\}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_6(i, s) = & -\frac{\zeta_2}{4!} \sum_{|p| \leq n} \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, s)^5 [D_{ps}^4 (G_1(i, s)G_2(i, p))]^{(0)} \} \\ & + \frac{\zeta_2}{3!} \sum_{|p| \leq n} K_2 \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, s)^3 [D_{ps}^4 (G_1(i, s)G_2(i, p))]^{(1)} \} \\ & + \frac{\zeta_2}{3!} \sum_{|p| \leq n} K_4 \mathbf{E} \{ H(p, s) [D_{ps}^4 (G_1(i, s)G_2(i, p))]^{(2)} \},\end{aligned}$$

where K_r , $r = 2, 4$ are the cumulants of $H(p, s)$ as in (3.5). Using (3.17), it is easy to show that

$$\sup_{|i|, |s| \leq n} |\gamma_1(i, s)| = o(b^{-1}), \quad \sup_{|i| \leq n} \left| \sum_{|s| \leq n} \gamma_1(i, s) \right| = o(b^{-1}).$$

The same is valid for γ_2 . Similar estimates for γ_3 , γ_4 , γ_5 and γ_6 follow from relations (4.40), (4.45) and simple arguments as those to the proof of (4.20) (see (4.42)-(4.44)). Thus, (5.35) implies that

$$t_{12}(i, s) = g_1(i)q_2(i)\delta_{is} + v^2 g_1(s)q_2(s)\{t_{12}U\}(i, s) + \Delta(i, s), \quad (5.36)$$

where

$$\sup_{|i|, |s| \leq n} |\Delta(i, s)| = o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{|i| \leq n} \left| \sum_{|s| \leq n} \Delta(i, s) \right| = o(1) \quad (5.37)$$

in the limit $n, b \rightarrow \infty$. We rewrite relation (5.36) in the matrix form (cf. (4.25))

$$t_{12} = \{I - W^{(g_1, q_2)}\}^{-1} (Diag(g_1 q_2) + \Delta) = \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \{W^{(g_1, q_2)}\}^m (Diag(g_1 q_2) + \Delta). \quad (5.38)$$

Now we can apply to (5.38) the same arguments as in the proof of (4.14). Replacing g_1 and q_2 by w_1 and w_2 , respectively, we derive from (5.37) that for $i \in B_{L+Q}$,

$$t_{12}(i, s) = \sum_{m=0}^M v^{2m} (w_1 w_2)^{m+1} [U^m](i, s) + o(1), \quad n, b \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.39)$$

Multiplying both sides of (5.39) by $U(s, i)$ and summing over s , we obtain the relation

$$\sum_{|s| \leq n} t_{12}(i, s) U(s, i) = \sum_{m=0}^M v^{2m} (w_1 w_2)^{m+1} [U^{m+1}](i, i) + o(1), \quad n, b \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now convergence (4.37) implies the relation that leads, with M replaced by ∞ , to (4.17).

To prove (4.18), let us sum (5.39) over s . The second part of (5.37) tells us that the terms Δ remain small when summed over s . Thus we can write relations

$$\sum_{|s| \leq n} t_{12}(i, s) = \sum_{m=0}^M (v^2 w_1 w_2)^{m+1} \sum_{|s| \leq n} [U^m](i, s) + o(1), \quad N, b \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.40)$$

Taking into account estimates for terms (4.35)-(4.36) (see previous work [1] for more details), it is easy to observe that convergence (4.34) together with (5.40) imply (4.18). Finally, we prove (4.16). To derive relations for the average value of variable $t_{11}(i, s) = \mathbf{E}G_1(i, s)G_1(i, s)$, we repeat the proof of (4.18) and replace G_2 by G_1 . Then one obtains (4.16). Lemma 4.3 is proved. ■

6 Asymptotic properties of $T(z_1, z_2)$

The asymptotic expression for $T(z_1, z_2)$ regarded in the limit $z_1 = \lambda_1 + i0$, $z_2 = \lambda_2 + i0$ supplies one with the information about the local properties of eigenvalue distribution provided that $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = O(N^{-1})$. Indeed, according to (2.5), the formal definition of the eigenvalue density $\rho_{n,b}(\lambda) = \sigma'_{n,b}(\lambda)$ is

$$\rho_{n,b}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2i} [g_{n,b}(\lambda + i0) - g_{n,b}(\lambda - i0)].$$

We consider the density-density correlation function of $\rho_{n,b}$

$$R_{n,b}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\delta_1, \delta_2 = -1, 1} \delta_1 \delta_2 C_{N,b}(\lambda_1 + i\delta_1 0, \lambda_2 + i\delta_2 0).$$

In general, even if $R_{n,b}$ can be rigorously determined, it is difficult to carry out direct study of it. Taking into account relation (2.13), one can simpler-expression

$$\Xi_{n,b}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = -\frac{1}{4Nb} \sum_{\delta_1, \delta_2 = -1, +1} \delta_1 \delta_2 T(\lambda_1 + i\delta_1 0, \lambda_1 + i\delta_1 0) \quad (6.1)$$

and assume that it corresponds to the leading term to $R_{n,b}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ in the limit $n, b \rightarrow \infty$.

It should be noted that for Wigner random matrices this approach is justified by the study of the simultaneous limiting transition $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\text{Im} z_j \rightarrow 0$ in the studies of $C_N(z_1, z_2)$ [5, 6, 10, 18].

Theorem 6.1. *Let $T(z_1, z_2)$ is given by (2.12). Assume that function $\hat{\psi}(p)$ is such that there exist positive constants c_1 , δ and $v > 1$ that*

$$\hat{\psi}(p) = \hat{\psi}(0) - c_1|p|^\nu + o(|p|^\nu) \quad (6.2)$$

for all p such that $|p| \leq \delta$, $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\Xi_{n,b}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{1}{Nb} \frac{c_2}{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|^{2-1/v}} (1 + o(1)) \quad (6.3)$$

for λ_j , $j = 1, 2$ satisfying

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rightarrow \lambda \in (-2v, 2v). \quad (6.4)$$

We see from (2.12) that there are two terms in $T(z_1, z_2)$. The first was found in [16] for band random matrices, the second coincides with that found in [19] for the ensemble of Wigner random matrices. The proof of (6.3) consists of two parts already done in [16] and [19]. For completeness, we reproduce here these computations.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let us start with the term of (6.1) that correspond to $\delta_1 \delta_2 = -1$. It follows from (2.7) that

$$\frac{1 - v^2 w_1 w_2}{w_1 w_2} = \frac{z_1 - z_2}{w_1 - w_2}. \quad (6.5)$$

The above identity yields relations

$$\epsilon |w(\lambda + i\epsilon)|^2 = \text{Im} w(\lambda + i\epsilon) (1 - v^2 |w(\lambda + i\epsilon)|^2) \quad \text{and} \quad |w(\lambda + i0)|^2 = v^{-2}$$

for λ such that $\text{Im} w(\lambda + i0) > 0$. Combining these relations with (1.4) for the real and imaginary parts of $w(\lambda + i0) = \tau(\lambda) + i\rho(\lambda)$, we obtain that

$$v^2 \tau^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{4v^2} \quad \text{and} \quad v^2 \rho^2 = 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{4v^2} \quad (6.6)$$

(here and below we omit the variable λ). This implies the existence of the limits $w(z_1) = \overline{w(z_2)}$ for (6.4). One can easily deduce from (6.5) that in the limit (6.4)

$$\frac{1 - v^2 w(z_1) w(z_2)}{w(z_1) w(z_2)} = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{2i\rho} = o(1). \quad (6.7)$$

Also we have that

$$(1 - v^2 w_1^2)(1 - v^2 w_2^2) = 2 - 2v^2(\tau^2 - \rho^2) = 4v^2 \rho^2. \quad (6.8)$$

Now let us consider the leading term of the correlation function. Rewrite (2.12) as

$$\begin{aligned} T(z_1, z_2) &= Q(z_1, z_2) + Q'(z_1, z_2) + \frac{2v^2 Q(z_1, z_2)}{(1 - v^2 w_1^2)(1 - v^2 w_2^2)} \\ &= \frac{2v^2 S(z_1, z_2)}{(1 - v^2 w_1^2)(1 - v^2 w_2^2)} + Q'(z_1, z_2) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$S(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{w_1^2 w_2^2 \hat{\psi}(p)}{(1 - v^2 w_1 w_2 \hat{\psi}(p))^2} dp \quad (6.9)$$

and

$$Q'(z_1, z_2) = \frac{2\Delta v^4 w_1^3 w_2^3}{(1 - v^2 w_1^2)(1 - v^2 w_2^2)}, \quad (6.10)$$

where Δ is given by (2.14). It is easy to observe that relations (6.6) and $|w(\lambda + i0)|^2 = |w(\lambda - i0)|^2 = 1/v^2$ imply that (cf. [19])

$$Q'(\lambda_1 + i0, \lambda_2 - i0) + Q'(\lambda_1 - i0, \lambda_2 + i0) = \frac{\Delta}{v^4 \rho^2}. \quad (6.11)$$

Now let us consider $S(z_1, z_2)$ (6.9) and let us write

$$S(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} + \int_{\mathbf{R} \setminus (-\delta, \delta)} \right\} \frac{w_1^2 w_2^2 \hat{\psi}(p)}{(1 - v^2 w_1 w_2 \hat{\psi}(p))^2} dp = I_1 + I_2.$$

Relation (6.5) and (6.7) imply equality

$$[1 - v^2 w_1 w_2 \hat{\psi}(p)]^2 = [\hat{\Psi}(p) - 1]^2 (1 + o(1)). \quad (6.12)$$

Since $\psi(t)$ is monotone, then

$$\liminf_{p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus (-\delta, \delta)} [\Psi(p) - 1]^2 > 0.$$

This means that $I_2 < \infty$ in the limit (6.4). Relations (6.2), (6.7) and (6.12) imply in the limit (6.4) and that if we take $\delta |\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|^{-1/\nu} \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain asymptotically (cf. [16])

$$I_1(\lambda_1 + i0, \lambda_2 - i0) + I_1(\lambda_1 - i0, \lambda_2 + i0) = 4B_v(c_1) \frac{(2v\rho)^{2-1/\nu}}{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|^{2-1/\nu}}, \quad (6.13)$$

where

$$B_v(c_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi c_1^{1/\nu}} \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{1 + s^{2\nu}} - 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{(1 + s^{2\nu})^2} \right] \quad (6.14)$$

and c_1 is as in (6.2). To prove (6.3), it remains to consider the sum

$$I_1(\lambda_1 + i0, \lambda_2 - i0) + I_2(\lambda_1 - i0, \lambda_2 + i0).$$

It is easy to observe that relations of the form (6.8) imply the boundedness of this sum in the limit (6.4).

Now gathering relations (6.8), (6.11) and (6.13), we derive that

$$\Xi_{n,b}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{1}{Nb} \frac{B_\nu(c_1)}{(2v\rho)^{1/\nu}} \frac{1}{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|^{2-1/\nu}} (1 + o(1)). \quad (6.15)$$

This proves (6.3). ■

Let us discuss two consequences of Theorem 6.1.

- If $\nu = 2$ and $c_1 = \int t^2 \psi(t) < \infty$. Regarding the RHS of (2.11) in the limit (6.4) with $\lambda_j = \lambda + \frac{r_j}{N}$, $j = 1, 2$, we obtain the asymptotic relation (see [16])

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) &= -\frac{B_2(c_1)}{2\sqrt{2}(v^2\rho)^{1/2}} \frac{\sqrt{N}}{b} \frac{1}{|r_1 - r_2|^{3/2}} (1 + o(1)) \\ &= -C \frac{\sqrt{N}}{b} \frac{1}{|r_1 - r_2|^{3/2}} (1 + o(1)), \quad C > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

- If $\Psi(t) = O(|t|^{-1-\nu})$ with $1 < \nu < 2$, we obtain the asymptotic relation (see [16])

$$\Xi(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{B_\nu(c_1)}{(2v^2\rho)^{1/\nu}} \frac{N^{1-1/\nu}}{b} \frac{1}{|r_1 - r_2|^{2-1/\nu}} (1 + o(1)) \quad (6.17)$$

and conclude that the expression for (6.1) is proportional to

$$\frac{N^{1-1/\nu}}{b} \frac{1}{|r_1 - r_2|^{2-1/\nu}}.$$

The form of asymptotic expressions (6.16) and (6.17) coincides with the expressions determined by Khorunzhy and Kirsch (see [16]) for the spectral correlation function of band random matrices [16].

The first conclusion is that the leading terms of the ensemble we study (see (2.3)) and the ensemble of band random matrices are different but in the local scale, the form (6.16) and (6.17) is the same. More precisely, the two ensembles mentioned above belong to the same class of spectral universality.

Our main conclusion is that the limiting expression for $\Xi_{n,b}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ exhibits different behavior depending on the rate of decay of $\psi(t)$ at infinity.

In both cases (see (6.16) and (6.17)) the exponents do not depend on the particular form of the function $\psi(t)$. Moreover, in the first case the exponents do not depend on ψ at all. This can be regarded as a kind of spectral universality for the random matrix ensembles $\{H_{n,b}\}$ (2.3). One can deduce that these characteristics also do not depend on the probability distribution of the random variables $a(i, j)$ (1.1).

Références

- [1] S. Ayadi : Semicircle Law For Random Matrices Of Long-Range Percolation Model. Arxiv PR/0806.4497v1, *to appear in Random Operators and Stochastic Eqs.* N4, Volume 16, (2009).
- [2] S. Ayadi : Asymptotic properties of random matrices of long-range percolation model. (submitted in ROSE)
- [3] D. Bessis, C. Itzykson, J. B. Zuber. Quantum field theory techniques in graphical enumeration. *Adv. Appl. Math.* **1**, 109-157 (1980)
- [4] P. Bleher and A. Its. Semiclassical asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials, Riemann-Hilbert problem, and universality in the matrix model. *Annals of Mathematics*, **150**, 185-266 (1999)
- [5] A. Boutet de Monvel, Khorunzhy : Asymptotic distribution of smoothed eigenvalue density :
 I. Gaussian random matrices, *Random Oper. Stoch. Eqs.* **7**, 1-22 (1999)
 II. Wigner random matrices, *Random Oper. Stoch. Eqs.* **7**, 149-167 (1999)
- [6] E. Brézin, A. Zee : Universality of the correlations between eigenvalues of large random matrices. *Nucl. Phys. B* **402** no. **3**, 613-627 (1993) ;
 Ambjorn J, Jurkiewicz J, Makeenko Yu M. :Multiloop correlators for two-dimensional quantum gravity. *Phys. Lett. B* **251** (4), 517-524 (1990)
- [7] G. Casati, L. Molinari, F. Izrailev. Scaling properties of band random matrices. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** 1851 (1990)
- [8] D. Coppersmith, D. Gamarnik, M. I. Sviridenko : The diameter of long-range percolation graph. *In Mathematics and Computer Science II. Trends Math., Birkhauser, Basel*, 147-159 (2002)
- [9] A. Crisanti, G. Paladin, A. Vulpiani. Products of Random Matrices in Statistical Physics. *Berlin : Springer*, (1993)
- [10] F. J. Dyson : Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems (III). *J. Math. Phys* **3**, 166-175 (1962)

- [11] P. A. Deift, A. Its, X. Zhou. A Riemann-Hilbert approach to asymptotic problems arising in the theory of random matrix models, and also in the theory of integrable statistical mechanics. *Ann. Math* **146**, 149-235 (1997)
- [12] T. Guhr, A. Müller-Groeling, H. A. Weidenmüller. Random -matrix theories in quantum physics : *Common concepts*, *Phys. Rep.* **299**, 189-425 (1998)
- [13] Y. V. Fyodorov, A. D. Mirlin. Scaling properties of localization in random band matrices. A σ model approach. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 2405 (1991)
- [14] F. Haake. Quantum Signatures of Chaos. *Berlin : Springer*, (1991)
- [15] S. Janson, T. Luczak, A. Rucinski. Random Graphs. John Wiles and Sons, *Inc. New York*. (2002)
- [16] A. Khorunzhy, W. Kirsch : On Asymptotic Expansions and Scales of Spectral Universality in Band Random Matrix Ensembles. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **231**, 223-255 (2002)
- [17] O. Khorunzhiy, W. Kirsch, P. Müller. Lifshitz tails for spectra of Erdős-Rényi random graphs. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* Volume 16, Number 1, 295-309, (2006)
- [18] A. Khorunzhy : On smoothed density of states for Wigner random matrices. *Rand. Oper. Stoch. Eqs.* **5**, 147-162 (1997)
- [19] A. Khorunzhy, B. Khoruzhenko, L. Pastur : Asymptotic properties of large random matrices with independent entries. *J. Math. Phys.* **37** , 5033-5060 (1996)
- [20] A. Khorunzhy, B. Khoruzhenko, L. Pastur, M. Shcherbina. Large-n limit in statistical mechanics and the spectral theory of disordered systems. *In Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, Vol.15, edg C.Domb and J.L.Lebowitz. Academic Press, London, pp. 73-239, (1992)
- [21] A. Khorunzhy, L. Pastur : On the eigenvalue distribution of the deformed Wigner ensemble of random matrices. *Adv. Soviet. Math.* **19**, 97-107 (1994)
- [22] V. Marchenko, L. Pastur. *Math. USSR-sb* **1**, 457 (1967)
- [23] V. Marchenko, L. Pastur : Eigenvalue distribution of some class of random matrices. *Matem. Sbornik.* **72**, 507 (1972)
- [24] M. L. Mehta : Random matrices, 2nd ed. *Academic, New York*, (1991)
- [25] S. A. Molchanov, L. Pastur, A. Khorunzhy : Eigenvalue distribution for band random matrices in the limit of their infinite rank. *Teor. Matem. Fizika* **99**, (1992)

- [26] L. A. Pastur. *Theor. Math. Phys.* **10**, 67 (1972)
- [27] C. Porter : Statistical Theories of Spectra : Fluctuations. *New York : Acad. Press*, (1965)
- [28] A. B. Soshnikov. Universality at the edge of the spectrum in Wigner random matrices. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **207**, 697-733 (1999)
- [29] P. Sylvestrov. Summing graphs for random band matrices. *Phys. Rev. E* **55**, 6419-6432 (1997)
- [30] D. Voiculescu, K. J. Dykema, A. Nica. Free Random Variables, A non-commutative probability approach to free products with applications to random matrices, operator algebras and harmonic analysis on free groups. CRM Monograph Series, **1**. Providence, RI : AMS, 1992
- [31] E. Wigner : Charecteristic vector of bordered matrices with infinite dimensions. *Ann. Math.* **62**, (1955)